Effects of Mergers and Acquisitions on Return on Capital Employed and Dividend per Share Indices of Companies in Nigeria

Sergius N. Udeh¹ & Nicholas N. Igwe²

¹Department of Accounting/Finance, Godfrey Okoye University, Ogwuomu – Nike, Enugu State, Nigeria

² Department of Business Management, Godfrey Okoye University, Ugwuomu – Nike, Enugu State, Nigeria

Correspondence: Nicholas N. Igwe, PH.D, JP, MNIM, MIMC, Department of Business Management, Godfrey Okoye University, Ugwuomu – Nike, Enugu State, Nigeria. E-mail: ngozinick@yahoo.com

Received: July 15, 2013	Accepted: August 23, 2013	Online Published: September 6, 2013
doi:10.5430/ijba.v4n5p51	URL: http://dx.doi.org/1	0.5430/ijba.v4n5p51

Abstract

This paper examines the effects of mergers and acquisitions on returns on capital employed and dividend per share of companies in Nigeria. Data were collected from published consolidated financial statements of five of the companies that combined between 1983 and 2003 which had one or two of the companies listed on the floor of the Nigerian Stock Exchange. Data were collected for a period of twenty year, ten years before and ten years after business combination.

Regression analysis and t – test statistic were used to analyze the data. The study reveals that while mergers and acquisitions had significant effect on return on capital employed in 20 percent of the companies, they produced significant effect on dividend per share in 80 percent of the companies studied. The paper concludes that mergers and acquisitions produced varying degrees of effects on some corporate performance indicators. It recommends that mergers and acquisitions could be employed by stakeholders to enhance profitability and dividend per share of their companies in Nigeria.

Keywords: mergers and acquisition, return on capital, divided per share indices, performance indicators, Nigeria

1. Introduction

Corporate entities all over the world exist basically to generate earnings. The higher the earnings the more successful and fulfilling the organization is considered to be, especially to all stakeholders in the organization. Common stakeholders of corporate entities include the shareholders, the employees, the government, debtors, creditors, etc.

However, earnings flows in organizations are not always predictable. The quantity and or the regularity of the earning could be affected by either endogenic or exogenic factors or even both (Adewoyin, 2006). As a result of this, it becomes necessary that as a firm struggles to pass through the various stages of its life cycle, it also contends with internal and external pressures that threaten its earning capacity.

Different organizations adopt different strategies such as internal reorganization, external reconstruction and so on, they consider appropriate to overcome these pressures and meet the stakeholders' expectations. When the measures fail to produce the desired results, the affected organization may go into business combination, either in the form of merger or acquisition as a way out of the unfavourable situation.

Since profitability, measured as return on capital employed (ROCE) and dividend per share indices appear to be a common area of interest for all stakeholders, it becomes necessary to examine how mergers and acquisitions influence them. This is against the background that some analysts wonder if these strategies impact positively or negatively on corporate performance indices that are of concern to business managers, shareholders and investors of all classes (Udeh, 2012.).

1.1 Statement of the Problem

When the period of economic boom in Nigeria was over, economic downturn and business failures emerged as a result of adverse macro economic conditions. Consequently, business expansion became hindered and operating earnings shrank.

Consequent upon these challenges, many firms resorted to adoption of various survival strategies such as divestiture of seemingly non profitable lines of businesses, internal and external capital reorganization, recapitalization, mergers and acquisitions and so on. Olabode and Makinde (2003) assert that business combination which is commonly used as one of the last survival options has an edge over the others in terms of optimization of resources.

However, many of the investors, both macro and marginal, express uncertainties over the effects of mergers and acquisitions on return on capital employed and dividend per share of corporate organizations especially in the non banking sectors of the Nigerian economy. This paper therefore, is designed to explore the effects of mergers and acquisitions on return on capital employed (ROCE) and dividend per share (DPS) of companies other than banks in Nigeria.

1.2 Objectives

The objectives of the study are as follows:

a. To find out if mergers and acquisitions have significant effects on return on capital employed of companies in Nigeria.

b. To determine whether mergers and acquisitions have significant effect on dividend per share of companies in Nigeria.

1.3 Research Questions

The following research questions could be discerned:

a. To what extent do mergers and acquisitions have effect on return on capital employed of companies in Nigeria?

b. What is the extent of effect of mergers and acquisitions on dividend per share of companies in Nigeria?

1.4 Hypotheses

In view of the above research questions, the following hypotheses were formulated to guide the study:

- a. Mergers and acquisitions do not produce significant effect on return on capital employed of companies in Nigeria.
- b. Mergers and acquisitions do not have significant effect on dividend per share of companies in Nigeria.

2. Theoretical Framework and Review of Related Literature

This study was anchored on transaction cost theory developed in 1937 by Ronald Coarse. The theory is concerned with the relative efficiency of different exchange processes. It focuses on costs of acquiring and handling the information about quality inputs, the relevant prices, the supplier's reputation, and so on. Vannoni (2011), states that firms merge as a way of economizing transaction costs in a world of uncertainty, where contractual arrangements are too expensive. Firms therefore, merge basically to reduce transaction costs and gain economies of large scale.

Mergers and acquisitions are not new corporate strategies. Sapienza (2009) states that combinations of business entities have occurred ever since the corporate form of enterprise came into existence.

Rock, Rock and Sikora (1995) see merger as a business combination in which two or more entities join together, with one being fused into the others while acquisitions are combinations in which little or no effort is made to continue in existence with the identity of the acquired company. Rock, Rock and Sikora (1995) view implies that merger involves total fusion of one entity into another.

This may not always be the case. The Companies and Allied Matters Act (CAMA) of 2004, section 590 lends credence to the contrary. The section describes merger as an amalgamation of the undertaking or any part of undertaking or interest of two or more companies.

Okonkwo (2004) states that an essential difference between a merger and an acquisition is that in a merger, there is no disinvestment of shareholders of the amalgamating companies (expect of course, where there are dissenting shareholders who are paid off while the reverse in the case in an acquisition. He adds that when one company takes over another and clearly establishes itself as the new owner, the purchase is called an acquisition. From a legal point of view, the target company ceases to exist, the buyer swallows the business and the buyer's stocks continue to be traded in the stock market.

Olabode and Makinde (2003) discover that the commonest expectation of shareholders in merger and acquisition deals is a higher dividend payment. They further note that the expectation was only met in 41.3% of deals

consummated in the banking sector. The contention is that these findings may not apply to other sectors of the Nigerian economy. In another development, Adewoyin (2006) and Sanni (2009) observe that the banking sector reform in Nigeria which was embraced by many banks through mergers and acquisitions not only produced more stable financial institutions but also had secondary effects that impacted directly on shareholders welfare. Adewoyin (2006) contends that the improvement in shareholders wealth consequent on the reform would predispose them more favourably to future reforms. However, Hagedoorn and Schakenraad (2004) argue that mergers and acquisitions are empire - building strategies that hardly improve shareholders' welfare defined by profitability and dividend indices.

Furthermore, Kitching (2006) states that there are evidences consistent in suggesting that a high proportion of mergers and acquisitions are financially unsuccessful and fail to meet shareholders' expectations in terms of bountiful return on capital employed or dividend payments. In a related development, Solow (2005) concludes that shareholders basically approve corporate restructuring in form of mergers and acquisitions to improve their dividend per share index and avoid take-over bids. Mergers and acquisitions try to prevent hostile advances of acquiring companies.

Scherer (2004) states that mergers and acquisitions significantly influence the earning per share when the synergies of business combination are properly managed. He however, notes that persistent low earnings per share is a major indicator for take-over bids in companies in Germany.

Arguing from the view point of systematic risk, Moyer and Chatfield (2006) opine that merger and acquisition activities increase market power of an enterprise and decrease its systematic risk. They state that increase in market power and decrease in systematic risk that result from mergers and acquisitions often lead to increase in profitability of the enterprise.

Michel and Shaked (2007) discover that synergy created by related mergers and acquisitions positively influence the profit streams of the firms. They believe that profit of firms tend to increase in relation to the degree of relatedness of companies in merger and acquisition activities. On the contrary, Mahesh (2007) finds that mergers and acquisitions fail to make positive contributions in respect of return on capital employed. He observes that economy of scale or synergies that result from mergers and amalgamations are often vitiated by limited experience of the managers. The divergence of findings in mergers and acquisitions especially in countries other than Nigeria and similar studies in the banking sector of the Nigerian economy really calls for further studies. Hence, the need for this study in the non-banking sector of the Nigerian economy.

3. Methodology

Data were generated from published consolidated financial statements of five of the companies that combined between 1983 and 2003 (excluding banks) which had one or two of the companies listed on the floor of the Nigerian Stock Exchange. (See Appendix 1). Data were collected for a period of 20 years, 10 years before and 10 years after business combination. (See Appendix 2). Regressional method was used to analyze the data generated. It is represented by

y = a + bx

Where

X = independent variable = Total assets of the merged and acquired companies

Y = predicted value of the dependent variable = Return on capital employed and dividend per share of merged and acquired companies.

The two hypotheses were tested using t - test statistic represented by the formula

tcal =
$$\underline{B1 - 0 \sim t}(n-2)$$

 $\sqrt{\underline{MSE}}$
Sxx

Where B1 = Regression coefficient for the total assets of the merged and acquired companies.

MSE = variance component due to error term.

Sxx = Estimated variance of the total assets of the merged and acquired companies. (See Appendix 3 for the details of the analysis)

4. Findings and Discussion

The following findings were made:

<u>Research Questions One:</u> To what extent do mergers and acquisitions have effect on return on capital employed of companies in Nigeria?

Table 1. Effects of regression of logged return on capital employed on adjusted total assets of merged and acquired	
companies on the basis of coefficient of determination (R^2)	

Model	Coefficient of	Coefficient of	Adjusted R ²	
	variation	determination		
A.G Leventis Plc	.502	.252	.210	
Nestle Nigeria Plc	.637	.406	.373	
Oando Plc	.487	.237	.193	
GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Plc	.643	.413	.380	
Total Nigeria Plc	.026	.001	.055	

(Source: Udeh, 2012)

Table 1 shows that mergers and acquisitions accounted for different degrees of variation in the profit index of the companies under investigation. Specifically, while mergers and acquisitions accounted for 25.2 percent variation in the profit in relation to a unit change in total assets of A.G. Leventis Plc, they produced 40.6 percent variation in profit index in response to a unit variation in total assets of Nestle Nigeria Plc. Similarly, mergers and acquisitions accounted for 23.7, 41.3 and 0.1 percent variations in the profit figures of Oando Plc, Glaxosmithkline Consumer Plc and Total Nigeria Plc respectively in relation to a unit variation in the total assets of these companies. The results agree with the findings of Michel and Shaked (2007) that synergy created by related mergers and acquisitions positively influenced profit streams of the firms.

<u>Research Question Two:</u> What is the extent of effect of mergers and acquisitions on dividend per share of companies in Nigeria?

Table 2. Effects of regression of dividend per share on adjusted total asset of merged and acquired companies on

basis of co-efficient of determi	nation (R^2)		
Model	Coefficient of variation	Coefficient of determination	Adjusted R ²

	variation	determination	0
A.G Leventis Plc	.000	.000	056
Nestle Nigeria Plc	.885	.784	.772
Oando Plc	.775	.601	.577
Glaxosmithkline Consumer Plc	.408	.219	.176
Total Nigeria Plc	.878	.770	.758

(Source: Udeh, 2012)

Table 2 shows that zero percent variation in dividend per share of A.G Leventis Plc was accounted for by a unit variation in total assets.

Furthermore, while 78.4 percent variation in dividend per share could be explained through a unit variation in total assets of Nestle Nigeria Plc, 60.1 percent variation in total assets was accounted for by a unit variation in total assets of Oando Plc. Again, 21.9 and 77.0 percent variations in dividend per share of Glaxosmithkline Consumer Plc and Total Nigeria Plc respectively were accounted for by unit variations in the total assets of the companies. These findings are in consonance with the results of study done by Adewoyin (2006) where he stated that the banking sector reform in Nigeria which was embraced by many banks through mergers and acquisitions did not only produce more stable financial institutions but had secondary effects that impacted directly on shareholders' welfare as defined by dividend per share.

Test of Hypothesis

Hypothesis One: Mergers and acquisitions do not produce significant effect on return on capital employed of companies in Nigeria.

Model	Mean	Std Deviation	t-cal	t-tab	df
A.G Leventis Plc	.40048	.294032	-2.459	2.10	18
Nestle Nigeria Plc	1.25990	.210470	3.507	2.10	18
Oando Plc	1.16702	.444617	-2.301	2.11	17
Glaxosmithkline Consumer Plc	1.02541	.346948	-3.68	2.10	18
Total Nigeria Plc	1.72906	.113586	112	2.10	18

Table 3. Results of t-test statistic on whether mergers and acquisitions have significant effects on return on capital employed

(Source: Udeh, 2012)

Table 3 shows that t - calculated for A.G Leventis Plc was -2.459 while the t - tabulated was 2.10

In addition, while the t - calculated for Oando Plc was -2.301 and t - tabulated 2.11, the t - calculated for Nestle Nigeria Plc, GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Plc and Total Nigeria Plc were 3.507, -3.558 and -.112 respectively with t - tabulated of 2.10 each.

The t-test results revealed it was only 20% of such variation in profit index accounted for by mergers and acquisitions that was significant. In fact, the results showed that while mergers and acquisitions significantly influenced profitability of Nestle Nigeria Plc, their effects on the profit index of other companies were not significant. The findings of the study are in agreement with the findings of Moyer and Chatfield (2006) that increase in market power and decrease in systematic risk from mergers and acquisitions often lead to increase in profitability of enterprises. Furthermore, these findings are consistent with the submission of Sanni (2009) that consolidation in the banking industry increased profitability of the banks.

<u>Hypothesis Two:</u> Mergers and acquisitions do not have significant effect on dividend per share of companies in Nigeria.

Table 4. Results of t-test statistic on whether mergers and acquisitions have significant effect on dividend per share of companies in Nigeria

Model	Mean	Std Deviation	t-cal	t-tab	Df
A.G Leventis Plc	6.48500	2.547088	.002	2.10	18
Nestle Nigeria Plc	2.00415	224.030123	8.073	2.10	18
Oando Plc	1.78242	130.013560	5.056	2.11	17
Glaxosmithkline Consumer Plc	1.56300	7.107824	2.249	2.10	18
Total Nigeria Plc	4.99500	353.738183	7.771	2.10	18

(Source: Udeh, 2012)

Table 4 shows t - calculated of .002 for A.G Leventis Plc and 8.073 Nestle Nigeria Plc with t- tabulated of 2.10. It also shows that while Oando Plc had a t- calculated of 5.056 and t - tabulated of 2.11, Glaxosmithkline Consumer Plc and Total Nigeria Plc had T calculated of 2.249 and 7.771 respectively and t - tabulated of 2.10 each.

The t - test results indicate that while mergers and acquisitions had significant effect on the dividend per share of Nestle Nigeria, Oando, Glaxosmithkline Consumer and Total Nigeria Plcs, they did not produce significant effect on dividend per share of A.G. Leventis Plc. This shows that mergers and acquisitions significantly influenced dividend per share of 80 percent of the companies studied.

These findings are consistent with the findings of Olabode and Makinde (2003) in which they concluded that the expectations of shareholders in terms of higher dividend per share are met in 41.3 percent of merger and acquisition deals consummated in the Nigerian banking sector. However, these results are inconsistent with the conclusion of Hagedoorn and Schakenraad (2004) that mergers and acquisitions were empire building strategies that hardly improve shareholders' welfare interpreted by earnings and dividend indices.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

In spite of controversies over the impact of mergers and acquisitions on indices of corporate performance in some countries of the world, this study found that mergers and acquisitions had different levels of significant effect on profitability and dividend per share of a cross section of companies in Nigeria. The study specifically showed that the

mergers and acquisitions produced wider scope of significant effect on dividend per share than on return on capital employed of companies.

The following recommendations are made:

(1) Mergers and acquisitions should no longer be seen as survival strategies that are useful only when companies are sinking or facing economic downturn. They can enhance profitability and dividend per share indices of companies in Nigeria.

(2) Since improvement in welfare of stakeholders of companies is a common area of interest; and mergers and acquisition offer themselves as useful tools for achieving this purpose, appropriate stakeholders can employ these strategies whenever necessary to enhance their welfare.

(3) Government should create the enabling environment to encourage companies use mergers and acquisitions as both survival and performance enhancing strategies.

References

Adewoyin, A.O. (2006). The implications of Reform on Banking Sector. *Journal of Business Management*, 23(2), 1-13.

Companies and Allied Matters Act. (2004).

Hagedoorn, J., & Schakenraad, J. (2004). The Effect of Strategic Technology Alliances on Company Performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 15, 291-305.

Kitching, J. (2006). Why do Mergers Miscarry? Harvard Business Review, 6(45), 84-101.

- Mahesh, K.T. (2007). Impact of Mergers and Amalgamations on the Performance of Indian companies. Retrieved from http://ideals.repec.org/p/wpa/wuwpfi/0506007.html
- Michel, A., & Shaked, M. (2007). Does Business Diversification Affect Performance? Financial Management Journal, 13(4), 1-15.
- Moyer, R.C., & Chatfield, R. (2006). Market Power and Systematic Risk. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 33, 123-130.
- Okonkwo, C.O. (2004). Legal Framework for Mergers and Acquisitions, Retreat on Mergers and Acquisition in the Nigerian Banking Industry, 7th September, 2004.
- Olabode, M., & Makinde, J. (2003). Interest of Shareholders in Mergers and Acquisitions in the Banking sector. *Journal of Finance*, 38(2), 82-96.
- Rock, M.I., Rock, R.H., & Sikora, M. (1995). The Merger and Acquisition Handbook. New York, McGraw Hill.
- Sanni, M.R. (2009). Short Term Effect of the 2006 Consolidation of Profitability of Nigerian Banks. *Nigerian Research Journal of Accountancy, 1,* 177-188.
- Sapienza, S.R. (2009). Pooling Theory and Practice in Business Combinations. Retrieved from http://www;stor.org/action/show/articleImage
- Scherer, F.M. (2004). Corporate Take-overs: The Efficiency Arguments. *Journal of Economic Perspective*, 2(1), 69-82.
- Solow, R. (2005). A Contribution of Mergers and Acquisitions to the Theory of Economic Growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 70(1), 65-94.
- Udeh, S.N. (2012). Comparative Analysis of Effects of Pre- and Post-Merger and Acquisition Activities on Corporate Performance: A Study of Selected Companies in Nigeria (1983 2011). A Ph.D Thesis, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki.

Appendix 1. Business combinations handled by the Nigerian Securities and Exchange Commission between 1983 and 2003

S/N		YEAR COMBINE	NO. D LISTED
EXISTING COMPANY			
1. ITI Plc	Henein Shipping Co. Ltd.	1983	None
2. Lever Brothers Nig. Ltd.	Lipton Nigeria Co. Ltd.	1984	None
 Associated Match Ind. Plc. (Formerly Niger Match Co. Ltd.) 	(i) United Company Nig. Ltd.(ii) Star Match Nig. Co. Ltd.(iii) Safa Nig. Ltd.	1985	None
4. United Insurance Company Ltd.	United Life Assurance Co. Ltd.	1987	None
5. Gas Product Ltd.	Gas and Welding Ltd.	1991	None
6. Prudent Merchant Co. Ltd.	Prudent Finance Ltd.	1991	None
7. A. G. Leventis Nig. Ltd.	Leventis Technical Ltd. and Leventis Motors Ltd.	1995	One
8. Nestle Nigeria Plc	Nestle Foods Plc	1996	One
9. Glaxosmithkline Consumer Plc.	Sterling Products Nigeria Plc	1996	Two
10. Union Bank of Nigeria Plc	Nal Merchant Bank Plc	1996	One
11. Guaranty Trust Bank Plc	Magnum Trust Bank Ltd.	2001	One
12. Total Nig. Plc	ELF Oil Ltd.	2001	One
13. Oando Plc	Agip (Nig.) Plc	2002	Two
14. Carnud Metal Box Nig. Plc	The Crown Work and Seal Company (Nig.) Ltd. and Cammters Nig. Ltd.	2003	None

Source: Securities and Exchange Commission's Statistical Report, October 2005

Appendix 2

YEAR	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994
PAT(N'000)	10,370	11,246	13,422	14,381	16,572	17,104	19,941	25,800	46,412	50,970
DPS(kobo)	7	6	5	7	7	8	8	10	15	20
NAPS(kobo)	10	12	16	11	13	18	21	27	42	56
TOTAL ASSETS(N'000	141.214))	225.341	294.652	330.180	389.421	411.023	491.452	735.038	1.519.415	1.428.478
INFLATION RATE (%)	6	5	10	38	41	8	13	45	57	57
DEFLATOR FACTOR	0.943	0.952	0.909	0.725	0.709	0.926	0.885	0.690	0.637	0.637
ADJUSTED PAT(N'000)	9,778.91	10,706.192	12,200.598	3 10,426.225	11,749.548	15,838.304	17,647.785	17,802.00	29,564.444	32,467.8
ADJUSTED TOTAL ASSETS (N	133,165 '000)	214,525	276,839	239,381	276,099	380,607	434,935	507,173	967,867	909,940
ADJUSTED DPS (Kobo)	6.6	5.7	4.5	5.1	5.0	7.4	7.1	6.9	9.6	12.7
ADJUSTED NAPS (Kobo)	9.4	11.4	14.5	8.0	9.2	16.7	18.6	18.6	26.8	35.7
ROCE (%)	6.925	4.751	4.141	3.158	3.017	3.853	3.591	2.422	1.946	2.273

Pre-Merger Extracts from Financial Statements of A.G Leventis Plc (1985 - 1994)

Source: Financial Statements of A.G Leventis Plc and National Bureau of Statistics Reports from 1985-1994 Post-Merger Extracts from Financial Statements of A.G Leventis Plc (1995 - 2004)

YEAR	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
PAT(N'000)	73,228	69,056	89,573	(10,209)	70,557	10,779	36,310	59,565	186,180	240,992
DPS(kobo)	10	10	12	_	10	5	6	7	7	7
NAPS(kobo)	60	40	52	66	48	56	70	80	74	78
TOTAL ASSETS(N'00	2,790.773 0)	2,380.052	2,158.959	2,172.068	2,485.518	2,782,752	2,478,703	2,679.776	3,364.045	3,987.993
INFLATION RATE (%)	64	73	29	8	7	7	19	13	14	15
DEFLATOR FACTOR	0.610	0.578	0.775	0.926	0.935	0.935	0.840	0.885	0.877	0.870
ADJUSTED PAT(N'000)	44,669.08	39,9140.368	69,419.075	(9,453.534)	65,970.795	10,078.36	30,500.40	52,715.025	163,279.86	209,663.0
ADJUSTED TOTAL ASSETS (N	1,702,372 ∛000)	1,375,670	1,673,193	2,011,335	2,323,959	2,601,873	2,082,111	2,371,602	2,950,267	3,469,55
ADJUSTED DPS (Kobo)	6.1	5.8	9.3	_	9.4	4.7	5.0	6.2	6.1	6.1
ADJUSTED NAPS (Kobo)	36.6	23.1	40.3	61.1	44.9	52.4	58.8	70.8	64.9	67.9
ROCE (%)	1.601	1.74	3.215	(0.435)	2.654	0.362	1.230	1.967	4.854	5.257

Source: Financial Statements of A.G Leventis Plc and National Bureau of Statistics Reports from 1995 - 2004

YEAR	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
PAT(N'000)	21,452	26,843	30,361	34,624	39,748	62,590	90,244	158,541	220,763	612,828
DPS(kobo)	7	8	11	14	14	19	27	80	N1.40	N1.44
NAPS(kobo)	63	70	76	83	70	80	100	100	N1.20	N1.51
TOTAL ASSETS(N'000)	103,632	140,241	17,513	221,432	258,339	380,195	857,161	1,342,203	1,459,868	2,440,464
INFLATION RATE (%)	5	10	38	41	8	13	45	57	57	64
DEFLATOR FACTOR	0.952	0.909	0.725	0.709	0.926	0.885	0.690	0.637	0.637	0.610
ADJUSTED PAT(N'000)	20,422.304	24,400.287	22,011.725	24,548.416	36,806.648	55,392.15	62,268.36	100,990.617	140,626.031	373,325.08
ADJUSTED TOTAL ASSETS (N	98,658 '000)	127,471	124,347	156,995	239,222	336,473	591,441	854,983	929,936	1,488,683
ADJUSTED DPS (Kobo)	6.7	7.3	8.0	9.9	13.0	16.8	18.6	51.0	89.2	87.8
ADJUSTED NAPS (Kobo)	60.0	63.6	55.1	58.8	64.8	70.8	69.0	63.7	76.4	92.1
ROCE(%)	19.707	17.399	12.759	11.086	14.247	14.569	7.264	7.524	9.633	15.297

Pre-Merger Extracts from Financial Statements of Nestle Nigeria Plc (1986 - 1995)

Source: Financial Statements of Nestle Nigeria Plc and National Bureau of Statistics Reports from 1986 – 1995 Post-Merger Extracts from Financial Statements of Nestle Nigeria Plc (1996 - 2005)

YEAR	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
PAT(N'000)	1,284,11	3 710,161	801,829	1,250,550	1,605,183	2,526,238	3,174,080	3,804,114	3,935,495	5 5,303,128
DPS (N)	N3.00	N1.50	N1.70	N2.00	N3.75	N4.40	N6.00	N7.00	N7.00	N7.00
NAPS (N)	N1.67	N1.85	N2.05	N3.01	N3.06	N2.82	N2.82	N3.02	N3.28	N11.32
TOTAL ASSETS(N'000)	3,275,076	3,334,41	3 2,895,465	3,546,710	4,625,740	6,764,401	8,829,84	3 11,910,01	16 1 3,399,87	0 16,875,084
INFLATION RATE (%)	73	29	8	7	7	19	13	14	15	18
DEFLATOR FACTOR	0.578	0.775	0.926	0.935	0.935	0.840	0.885	0. 877	0.870	0.847
ADJUSTED 74 PAT(N'000)	42,217.314	550,374.775	742,433.654	1,169,264.25	1,500,846.105	2,122,039.92	2,803,060.8	3,336,207.978	3,423,380.65	4,491,749.416
ADJUSTED 1 TOTAL ASSETS (N		2,584,170	2,681,201	3,316,174	4,325,067	5,682,097	7,814,411	10,445,084	11,657,887	14,293,196
ADJUSTED DPS (N)	N1.7	N1.2	N1.6	N1.9	N 3.5	N3.7	N5.3	N6.1	N6.1	N5.9
ADJUSTED NAPS (N)	N1.0	N1.4	N1.9	N2.8	N2.9	N2.4	N2.5	N2.6	N2.9	N9.6
ROCE(%)	22.663	16.506	25.641	32.968	32.446	31.371	31.745	28.012	25.547	26.618

Source: Financial Statements of Nestle Nigeria Plc and National Bureau of Statistics Reports from 1996 - 2005

YEAR	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
PAT(N'000)	89,317	119,913	315,990	750,668	749,394	98,780	318,949	560,694	528,147	375,444
DPS(kobo)	35	53	110	250	200	50	100	150	225	225
NAPS(kobo)	240	259	437	834	682	711	673	882	1010	3023
TOTAL ASSETS(N'000)	199,613	258,960	546,524	4 1,042.57	1 852,846	889,126	1,051,82	5 1,378,144	1,577,978	4,722,983
INFLATION RATE (%)	45	57	57	64	73	29	8	7	7	19
DEFLATOR FACTOR	0.690	0.637	0.637	0.610	0.578	0.775	0.926	0.935	0.935	0.840
ADJUSTED PAT(N'000) 6	1,628.73	76,334.581	201,285.63	457,307.48	433,149.732	76,554.50	295,346.774	4 524,248.89	493,817.445	315,372.96
ADJUSTED 1 TOTAL ASSETS (N	137,733 '000)	164,958	348,136	635,968	492,945	689,073	973,990	1,288,565	1,475,409	3,967,306
ADJUSTED DPS (Kobo)	24.2	33.8	70.1	153.0	116.0	38.8	92.6	140.3	210.4	189.0
ADJUSTED NAPS (Kobo)	165.6	164.9	278.4	508.7	394.2	551.0	623.2	824.7	944.4	2,539.3
ROCE(%)	30.874	29.477	36.830	43.863	50.788	8.610	28.079	38.040	31.294	6.677

Pre-Merger Extracts from Financial Statements of Oando Plc (1992 - 2001)

Source: Financial Statements of Oando Plc and National Bureau of Statistics Reports from 1992 - 2001

Post-Merger Extracts from Financial Statements of Oando Plc (2002 - 2011)

YEAR	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
PAT(N'000)	59,960	797,710	890,802	1,375,804	3,075,068	5,480,414	8,343,325	10,096,979	14,374,966	N/A
DPS (kobo)	40	200	200	312	250	362	600	300	300	N/A
NAPS (kobo)	2,244	1,834	3,464	3,703	4,258	7,492	4,960	5,835	5,258	N/A
TOTAL ASSETS(N'000)	6,652,228	5,981,722	19,823,858	21,190,995	24,369,270	47,416,277	44,878,733	52,811,742	95,192,266	N/A
INFLATION RATE (%)	13	14	15	18	8	5	9	11	10	N/A
DEFLATOR FACTOR	0.885	0.877	0.870	0.847	0.926	0.952	0.917	0.901	0.909	N/A
ADJUSTED PAT(N'000)	53,064.60	699,591.67	774,397.74	1,165,305.988	2,847,512.968	3 5,217,354.128	7,650,829.025	9,097,378.079	13,066,344.09	_
ADJUSTED 5 TOTAL ASSETS (N	, ,	5,245,970	17,246,756	17,948,773	22,565,944	45,140,296	41,153,798	47,583,380	86,529,761	N/A
ADJUSTED DPS (Kobo)	35.4	175.4	174.0	264.3	231.5	344.6	550.2	270.3	272.7	N/A
ADJUSTED NAPS (Kobo)	1,985.9	1,608.4	3,013.7	3,136.4	3,942.9	7,132.4	4,548.3	5,257.3	4,779.5	N/A
ROCE(%)	0.798	11.695	3.906	5.499	11.684	11.003	17.048	17.226	13.726	_

Source: Financial Statements of Oando Plc and National Bureau of Statistics Reports from 2002 - 2011Note: N/A = Not available

YEAR	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
PAT(N'000)	10,014	13,703	17,681	20,342	22,531	41,722	88,391	101,323	128,902	309,791
DPS (kobo)	13.30	15.40	19.18	14.60	16.15	18.20	20.00	20.17	17.30	18.15
NAPS (kobo)	135	180	252	290	325	312	300	224	235	287
TOTAL ASSETS(N'000)	128.5	163.6	182.7	205.6	301.0	276.1	183.0	142.7	149.7	175.1
INFLATION RATE (%)	5	10	38	41	8	13	45	57	57	64
DEFLATOR FACTOR	0.952	0.909	0.725	0.709	0.926	0.885	0.610	0.637	0.637	0.610
ADJUSTED PAT(N'000)	9,533.328	12,456.027	12,818.72	25 14,422.478	20,863.706	36,923.97	60,389.79	64,542.751	82,110.574	188,372.51
ADJUSTED TOTAL ASSETS (N	61,067 1'000)	66,014	58,386	69,071	100,239	115,652	115,299	165,068	221,477	1,519,031
ADJUSTED DPS (Kobo)	12.7	14.0	13.9	10.4	15.0	16.1	12.2	12.8	11.0	11.1
ADJUSTED NAPS (Kobo)	128.5	163.6	182.7	205.6	301.0	276.1	183.0	142.7	149.7	175.1
ROCE(%)	14.861	17.152	15.918	3 14.802	19.252	28.255	31.950	24.907	23.616	7.565

Pre- Merger Extracts from Financial Statements of GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Plc (1986 - 1995)

Source: Financial Statements of GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Plc and National Bureau of Statistics Reports from 1986 – 1995

Post- Merger Extracts from Financial Statements of GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Plc (1996 - 2005)

YEAR	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
PAT(N'000)	244,646	341,401	214,230	50,145	66,005	119,875	497,053	684,327	955,261	975,741
DPS (kobo)	20,83	25	16.66	10	7.5	12	22	30	35	40
NAPS (kobo)	351	403	442	325	313	460	528	614	543	516
TOTAL ASSETS(N'000)	3,208.250	2,738,223	2,822,531	2,562,038	2,481,519	2,824,688	5,264,932	4,819,560	6,021,983	8,296,389
INFLATION RATE (%)	73	29	8	7	7	19	13	14	15	18
DEFLATOR FACTOR	0.578	0.775	0.926	0.935	0.935	0.840	0.885	0.877	0.870	0.847
ADJUSTED PAT(N'000)	141,435.388	264,535.775	198,376.98	46,835.575	61,7 <mark>14.6</mark> 75	100,695	439,891.905	600,154.779	831,377.07	826,452.627
ADJUSTED TOTAL ASSETS (N		2,122,123	2,613,664	2,395,506	2,320,220	2,372,738	4,659,465	4,226,754	5,239,125	7,027,041
ADJUSTED DPS (Kobo)	12.0	19.4	15.4	9.4	7.0	10.1	19.5	26.3	30.5	33.9
ADJUSTED NAPS (Kobo)	202.9	312.3	409.3	303.9	292.7	386.4	467.3	538.5	472.4	437.1
ROCE(%)	4.408	9.661	7.028	1.828	2.486	3.565	8.355	12.452	13.806	9.962

Source: Financial Statements of GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Plc and National Bureau of Statistics Reports from 1996-2005

YEAR	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
PAT(N'000)	99,104	202,616	345,941	857,574	1,128,457	837,845	606,290	610,625	1,518,444	1,169,690
DPS(kobo)	39.75	90.00	135.00	450.00	N4.29	N1.71	N2.00	N2.00	N4.00	N4.00
NAPS(N)	N6.20	N7.02	N8.00	N8.10	N6.50	N7.00	N7.40	N7.54	N11.06	N12.76
TOTAL ASSETS(N'000)	200,998	273,275	422,271	629,343	755,845	1,209,690	1,367,980	1,530,605	2,153,046	2,426,739
INFLATION RATE (%)	13	45	57	57	64	73	29	8	7	7
DEFLATOR FACTOR	0.885	0.690	0.637	0.637	0.610	0.578	0.775	0.926	0.935	0.935
ADJUSTED PAT(N'000)	87,707.04	139,305.04	220,354.41	7 546,274.63	38 688,358.7	7 484,274.41	469,374.75	565,438.75	1,419,745.14	1,093,660.15
ADJUSTED TOTAL ASSETS (177,883 N'000)	188,560	268,987	400,891	461,065	699,201	1,060,185	1,417,340	2,013,098	2,269,001
ADJUSTED DPS (Kobo)	35.2	62.1	86.0	286.7	N2.6	N1.0	N1.6	N1.9	N3.7	N3.7
ADJUSTED NAPS (N)	N5.5	N4.8	N5.1	N5.2	N4.0	N4.0	N5.7	N7.0	N10.3	N11.9
ROCE(%)	43.636	50.976	52.183	86.801	91.071	40.033	34.312	36.942	65.941	45.067

Pre-Merger Extracts from Financial Statements of Total Nigeria Plc (1991 - 2000)

Source: Financial Statements of Total Nigeria Plc and National Bureau of Statistics Reports from 1991 - 2000 Post-Merger Extracts from Financial Statements of Total Nigeria Plc (2001 - 2010)

		-					-			
YEAR	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
PAT(N'000)	2,499,300	2,514,087	2,684,256	6 2,778,904	4 3,615,040	2,516,693	3 3,255,41	0 4,393,162	3,968,059	5,436,638
DPS (N)	N6.00	N7.00	N9.00	N9.00	N9.50	N7.40	N9.50	N12.93	N11.68	N8.00
NAPS (N)	N12.03	N13.49	N11.84	N11.02	N18.17	N16.98	N18.67	N21.41	N20.57	26.30
TOTAL ASSETS(N'000)) 3,573,994	4,008,510	4,019,028	3,742,235	4,131,818	5,765,754	6,338,944	7,268,984	6,982,835	8,929,188
INFLATION RATE (%)	19	13	14	15	18	8	5	9	11	10
DEFLATOR FACTOR	0.840	0.885	0.877	0.870	0.847	0.926	0.952	0.917	0.901	0.909
ADJUSTED PAT(N'000)	2,039, 412	2,224,966.995	2,354,032.512	2,417,646,.48	3,061,338.88	2,330,457.718	3,099,150.32	4,028,529.554	3,575,221.159	4,941,933.942
ADJUSTED 3 TOTAL ASSETS	3,002,155 (N'000)	3,547,531	3,524,688	3,255,744	3,499,650	5,339,088	6,034,675	6,665,658	6,291,534	8,116,632
ADJUSTED DPS (N)	N5.0	N6.2	N7.9	N7.8	N8.0	N6.9	N9.0	N11.9	N10.5	N7.3
ADJUSTED NAPS (N)	N10.1	N11.9	N10.4	N9.6	N15.4	N15.7	N17.8	N19.6	N18.5	N23.9
ROCE(%)	57.063	55.506	58.572	64,604	74.092	40.418	48.891	55.421	51.200	55.346

Source: Financial Statements of Total Nigeria Plc and National Bureau of Statistics Reports from 2001 - 2010

Appendix 3

Regression Analysis of ROCE on Total Assets for A. G. Leventis PLC

Descrip	tive Statistics						
		Mean	Std. D	eviation	N		
Log	gROCE1	.40048	.29	4032	20		
LogT	otalasset1	5.9484	.44	9623	20		
Correla	tions						
				LogROCE1	LogTotala	asset1	
Pearson	Correlation	Log	ROCE1	1.000	502		
		Log	Totalasset1	502	1.000		
Sig. (1-t	ailed)	Log	ROCE1	•	.012		
		Log	Totalasset1	.012			
N		Log	ROCE1	20	20		
		Log	Totalasset1	20	20		
Variabl	es Entered/Re	emoved ^b					
Model	Variables En		/ariables Removed	Method			
1	LogTotalasse	etl ^a .		Enter			
a. All re	quested variab	les enter	ed.				
	Summary	DG	A 1'		td. Error of th	he	
Model	R .502 ^a	R Squa	.210	sted R Square E	61351		
	ctors: (Constan			.2	01331		
ANOVA		i), Log I	otalasset1				
Model	1	Sur	n of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	.41	-	1	.413	6.049	
-	Residual	1.22		18	.068		
	Total	1.64		10	.000		
a Predio	ctors: (Constan			17			
	ndent Variable						
Coeffici		208110	021				
			Unstandardi	zed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		
Model			B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)		2.351	.795		2.956	.008
	LogTotalasse	et1	328	.133	502	-2.459	.024

a. Dependent Variable: LogROCE1

Descript	ive Statistic	es				
		Mean	Std. Deviat	ion N		
Adjusted	DPS1	6.46500	2.547088	20		
LogTotal	asset1	5.94845	.449623	20		
Correlat	ions					
				Adjusted	DPS1	LogTotalas set1
Pearson (Correlation	Adju	stedDPS1	1.000		.000
		LogT	otalasset1	.000		1.000
Sig. (1-ta	iled)	Adju	stedDPS1	•		.499
		LogT	otalasset1	.499		
Ν		Adju	stedDPS1	20		20
		LogT	otalasset1	20		20
Variable	s Entered/l	Removed ^b				
Model	Variables		ariables emoved	Method		
1	LogTotala	sset1 ^a .		Enter		

Regression Analysis of DPS on Total Assets for A. G. Leventis PLC escriptive Statistics

a. All requested variables entered.

b. Dependent Variable: AdjustedDPS1

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjust	ed R S	Square	~ • • •	. Erroi Estima	
1	.000 ^a	.000	056			2.6	16884	
a. Predic	tors: (Constan	t), LogTotalas	sset1					
ANOV	A ^b							
Model		Sum of S	Squares	df	Mean Squ	are	F	Sig.
1	Regression	.000		1	.000		.000	.999 ^a
	Residual	123.265		18	6.848			
	Total	123.266		19				
a. Predi	ctors: (Constan	nt), LogTotala	sset1					
b. Depe	ndent Variable	e: AdjustedDP	S1					
Coeffic	ients ^a							
		Unstanda	urdized C	oeffici	~		ardized	

		Unstandardized Coeff	icients	Coefficients	_	
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	6.453	7.964		.810	.428
	LogTotalasset1	.002	1.335	.000	.002	.999

a. Dependent Variable: AdjustedDPS1

Descrip											
		Mean	S	td. Devia	ation	N		_			
LogRO	CE2	1.25990	0.2	210470		20		-			
LogTota	alasset2	6.11517	7.7	14246		20					
Correla	tions							_			
					LogF	ROCE2	Log	Totalasset2			
Pearson	Correlation	Lo	ogROCE	22	1.000)	.637	7			
		Lo	ogTotala	sset2	.637		1.00	00			
Sig. (1-t	ailed)	Lo	ogROCE	22			.001	l			
		Lo	ogTotala	sset2	.001						
N		Lo	ogROCE	22	20		20			_	
		Lo	ogTotala	sset2	20		20				
Variabl	es Entered/H	Remove	d ^b								
Model	Variables E	Entered	Variabl Remov		Met	hod	_				
1	LogTotalas				Ente						
	0					-	_				
a. All re	quested varia	bles ent	ered.								
	quested varia ndent Variabl										
b. Deper	ndent Variabl										
b. Deper						Std.	. Erro	or of the			
b. Deper	ndent Variabl		ROCE2	Adjust	ed R Squ	Std. uare Esti		or of the			
b. Deper Model S	ndent Variabl Summary	le: LogR	ROCE2	Adjuste	ed R Squ	ıare Esti		or of the			
b. Depen Model S Model 1 a. Predic	ndent Variabl Summary R .637 ^a ctors: (Consta	le: LogR R Squ .406	ROCE2 uare	.373	ed R Squ	ıare Esti	mate	or of the			
b. Deper Model S Model	ndent Variabl Summary R .637 ^a ctors: (Consta	le: LogR R Squ .406	ROCE2 uare	.373	ed R Squ	ıare Esti	mate	or of the			
b. Depen Model S Model 1 a. Predic	ndent Variabl Summary R .637 ^a ctors: (Consta	le: LogR R Squ .406 ant), Log	ROCE2 uare	.373 set2	ed R Squ df	are Esti	6660	or of the	F		Sig.
b. Depen Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA	ndent Variabl Summary R .637 ^a ctors: (Consta	le: LogR R Squ .406 ant), Log Su	2OCE2 uare gTotalas:	.373 set2		uare Esti .166	6660			302	Sig. .003 ^a
b. Depen Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA Model	ndent Variabl Summary R .637 ^a ctors: (Consta	le: LogR R Squ .406 ant), Log Su .3	acce2 uare gTotalass um of So	.373 set2	df	1are Esti .166	for the second s			302	
b. Depen Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA Model	R R .637 ^a ctors: (Consta A ^b	le: LogR R Squ .406 ant), Log Su .3 .5	acce2 uare gTotalass um of Sc 42	.373 set2	df 1	1are Esti .166	1 mate 5660 Mean 1 342			302	
b. Depen Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA Model 1 1	R adent Variabl Summary R .637 ^a ctors: (Consta A ^b Regression Residual	le: LogR R Squ .406 ant), Log Su .3 .5 .8	are gTotalass um of Sc 42 00 42	.373 set2 quares	df 1 18	1are Esti .166	1 mate 5660 Mean 1 342			302	
b. Deper Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA Model 1 a. Predic	Ab Regression Residual Total	le: LogR R Squ .406 ant), Log .3 .5 .8 ant), Log	acocE2 uare gTotalass um of Sc 42 00 42 gTotalass	.373 set2 quares	df 1 18	1are Esti .166	1 mate 5660 Mean 1 342			302	
b. Deper Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA Model 1 a. Predic	R R .637 ^a ctors: (Consta A ^b Regression Residual Total ctors: (Consta ndent Variabl	le: LogR R Squ .406 ant), Log .3 .5 .8 ant), Log	acocE2 uare gTotalass um of Sc 42 00 42 gTotalass	.373 set2 quares	df 1 18	1are Esti .166	1 mate 5660 Mean 1 342			302	
b. Depen Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA Model 1 a. Predic b. Depen	R R .637 ^a ctors: (Consta A ^b Regression Residual Total ctors: (Consta ndent Variabl	le: LogR R Squ .406 ant), Log .3 .5 .8 ant), Log	are gTotalass um of Sc 42 00 42 gTotalass aCCE2	.373 set2 quares	df 1 18 19	1are Esti .166 	Mean 9 342 028		12.	302	
b. Depen Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA Model 1 a. Predic b. Depen	R R .637 ^a ctors: (Consta A ^b Regression Residual Total ctors: (Consta ndent Variabl	le: LogR R Squ .406 ant), Log .3 .5 .8 ant), Log	are gTotalass um of Sc 42 00 42 gTotalass aCCE2	.373 set2 quares set2	df 1 18 19 ed Coeff	1are Esti .166 	Mean 9 342 028	Square	ed ss	302 t	
b. Depen Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA Model 1 a. Predic b. Depen Coeffici	R R .637 ^a ctors: (Consta A ^b Regression Residual Total ctors: (Consta ndent Variabl	le: LogR R Squ .406 ant), Log .3 .5 .8 ant), Log	are gTotalass um of Sc 42 00 42 gTotalass aCCE2 Unsta	.373 set2 quares set2	df 1 18 19 ed Coeff	iare Esti .166 M Ticients Error	Mean 9 342 028	Square Standardize Coefficient	12. ed		.003 ^a

Regression Analysis of ROCE on Total Assets for Nestle Nigeria PLC

Descrin	Regres tive Statistic	-	is of DPS on T	Fotal A	Assets f	or Nestle Nigeria	a PLC	
Descrip	live Statistic	Mean	Std. Deviati	on	N			
Adjusted	IDPS2	2.00415E2	224.030123		20			
LogTota		6.11517	.714246		20			
Correla								
				Adjus	stedDP	S2 LogTotala	sset2	
Pearson	Correlation	Adjust	edDPS2	1.000		.885		
		LogTo	talasset2	.885		1.000		
Sig. (1-t	ailed)	Adjust	edDPS2	•		.000		
		LogTo	talasset2	.000				
N		Adjust	edDPS2	20		20		
		LogTo	talasset2	20		20		
Variabl	es Entered/l	Removed ^b						
			iables					
Model		Entered Ren	noved	Met		_		
1	LogTotalas			Ente	r			
	•	ables entered						
-		le: AdjustedI	DPS2					
Model S	Summary				C4.	l. Error of the		
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted	R Squ				
1	.885 ^a	.784	.772		10	7.071964		
a. Predic	ctors: (Consta	ant), LogTota	alasset2					
ANOVA	A ^b							
Model		Sum o	f Squares	df]	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	n 74724	1.127	1	,	747241.127	65.179	.000 ^a
	Residual	20625				11464.405		
	Residual	20055	9.298	18		11404.403		
	Total	20033 95360		18 19		11404.405		
a. Predic	Total		0.426			11404.403		
	Total etors: (Consta	95360	0.426 hlasset2			11404.403		
b. Deper	Total ctors: (Constandent Variab	95360 ant), LogTota	0.426 hlasset2					
	Total ctors: (Constandent Variab	95360 ant), LogTota le: AdjustedI	0.426 hlasset2	19		Standardized		
b. Deper	Total ctors: (Constandent Variab	95360 ant), LogTota le: AdjustedI	0.426 alasset2 DPS2	19 Coeffi		Standardized	l t	Sig
b. Deper Coeffici	Total ctors: (Constandent Variab	95360 ant), LogTota le: AdjustedI <u>U</u> B	0.426 alasset2 DPS2	19 Coeffi	cients Error	Standardized Coefficients		

a. Dependent Variable: AdjustedDPS2

		Mean	Std. Devia	ation	Ν				
LogRO	CE3	1.16702	.444617		19				
LogTota	alasset3	6.54291	.896804		19				
Correla	itions								
				LogR	OCE3	LogTotalas	set3		
Pearson	Correlation	LogR	OCE3	1.000		487			
		LogT	otalasset3	487		1.000			
Sig. (1-t	tailed)	LogR	OCE3	•		.017			
		LogT	otalasset3	.017					
N		LogR	OCE3	19		19			
		LogT	otalasset3	19		19			
Variabl	es Entered/I	Removed ^b							
			ariables			-			
Model	Variables E		emoved	Metl					
1	LogTotalas		_	Ente	r				
a. All re	quested varia	bles entere	d						
	1								
b. Deper	ndent Variab								
b. Deper	ndent Variabl Summary				Std.	Error of the	_		
b. Deper Model S			CE3	ed R Squ		Error of the nate	-		
b. Deper	Summary	le: LogROC	CE3	ed R Squ		nate	-		
b. Deper Model S Model 1	Summary R	le: LogROC R Square .237	CE3 e Adjust .193	ed R Squ	are Estir	nate	-		
b. Deper Model S Model 1 a. Predic	Summary R .487 ^a ctors: (Consta	le: LogROC R Square .237	CE3 e Adjust .193	ed R Squ	are Estir	nate	-		
b. Deper Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA	Summary R .487 ^a ctors: (Consta	le: LogROC R Square .237 ant), LogTo	CE3 e Adjust .193	ed R Squ df	are Estir .399	nate	- - F	Sig.	_
b. Deper Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOV	Summary R .487 ^a ctors: (Consta	le: LogROC R Square .237 ant), LogTo Sum	CE3 e Adjust .193 talasset3		are Estir .399	nate 524	-	-	-
b. Deper Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA Model	R .487 ^a ctors: (Consta A ^b	le: LogROC R Square .237 ant), LogTo Sum	CE3 e Adjust .193 talasset3 of Squares	df	are Estir .399 	nate 524 Jean Square	F	-	-
b. Deper Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA Model	R .487 ^a ctors: (Consta A ^b Regression	R Square .237 ant), LogTo Sum .845	CE3 e Adjust .193 talasset3 of Squares	df 1	are Estir .399 	nate 524 Jean Square 45	F	-	-
b. Depen Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA Model 1	R .487 ^a .487 ^a ctors: (Consta A ^b Regression Residual	le: LogROC R Square .237 ant), LogTo Sum .845 2.71 ² 3.558	CE3 e Adjust .193 talasset3 of Squares	df 1 17	are Estir .399 	nate 524 Jean Square 45	F	-	-
b. Depen Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA Model 1 a. Predic	Summary R .487 ^a ctors: (Consta A ^b Regression Residual Total	le: LogROC R Squard .237 ant), LogTo Sum .845 2.714 3.558 ant), LogTo	CE3 e Adjust .193 talasset3 of Squares 4 3 talasset3	df 1 17	are Estir .399 	nate 524 Jean Square 45	F	-	-
b. Depen Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA Model 1 a. Predic b. Depen	R .487ª ctors: (Constant Ab Regression Residual Total ctors: (Constant ndent Variable	le: LogROC R Squard .237 ant), LogTo Sum .845 2.714 3.558 ant), LogTo	CE3 e Adjust .193 talasset3 of Squares 4 3 talasset3	df 1 17	are Estir .399 	nate 524 Jean Square 45	F	-	-
b. Depen Model S Model 1 a. Predic Model 1 a. Predic b. Depen	R .487ª ctors: (Constant Ab Regression Residual Total ctors: (Constant ndent Variable	le: LogROC R Square .237 ant), LogTo Sum .845 2.714 3.558 ant), LogTo le: LogROC	CE3 e Adjust .193 talasset3 of Squares 4 3 talasset3	df 1 17 18	are Estin .399. 	nate 524 Jean Square 45	- F 5.292 zed	-	-
b. Depen Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA Model 1 a. Predic	R .487ª ctors: (Constant Ab Regression Residual Total ctors: (Constant ndent Variable	le: LogROC R Square .237 ant), LogTo Sum .845 2.714 3.558 ant), LogTo le: LogROC	CE3 e Adjust .193 talasset3 of Squares 4 3 talasset3 CE3	df 1 17 18 ed Coeffi	are Estin .399. 	nate 524 Jean Square 45 60 Standardi	- F 5.292 zed	-	- - -
b. Depen Model S Model 1 a. Predic ANOVA Model 1 a. Predic b. Depen Coeffici	R .487ª ctors: (Constant Ab Regression Residual Total ctors: (Constant ndent Variable	le: LogROC R Square .237 ant), LogTo Sum .845 2.714 3.558 ant), LogTo le: LogROC	CE3 e Adjust .193 talasset3 of Squares 4 3 talasset3 CE3	df 1 17 18 ed Coeffi	are Estin .399 M .8 .1 cients Error	nate 524 [ean Square 45 60 Standardi Coefficie	- F 5.292 zed	.034 ^a	- - - .(

Regression Analysis of ROCE on Total Assets for Oando Plc

a. Dependent Variable: LogROCE3

Regression Analysis of DPS on Total Assets for Oando Plc

ustedAdjDPS3 1.78242E2 130.013560 19 LogTotalasset3 6.54291 .896804 19 Correlations UstedAdjDPS3 LogTotalasset3 Pearson Correlation ustedAdjDPS3 1.000 .775 LogTotalasset3 .775 1.000 Sig. (1-tailed) ustedAdjDPS3000 LogTotalasset3 .000 . N ustedAdjDPS3 19 19 LogTotalasset3 19 19 Variables Entered/Removed ^b Model Variables Entered Removed Method 1 LogTotalasset3 ^a Enter a. All requested variables entered. b. Dependent Variable: ustedAdjDPS3 Model Summary Model R R Square Adjusted R Square Estimate 1 .775 ^a .601 .577 84.551193 a. Predictors: (Constant), LogTotalasset3 ANOVA ^b Model Sum of Squares df Mean Square F 1 Regression 182732.093 1 182732.093 25.56 Residual 121531.373 17 7148.904 Total 304263.466 18 a. Predictors: (Constant), LogTotalasset3 b. Dependent Variable: ustedAdjDPS3 b. Dependent Variable: ustedAdjDPS3	_			Ν	Std. Deviatio	Mean		
CorrelationsustedAdjDPS3LogTotalasset3Pearson CorrelationustedAdjDPS31.000.7751.000Sig. (1-tailed)ustedAdjDPS3.7751.000Sig. (1-tailed)ustedAdjDPS3.000LogTotalasset3.000Variables0.000NustedAdjDPS319VariablesEntered/Removed*VariablesEnterAdiusted Variables Entered RemovedMethod1Constantset3*Std. Error of theStd. Error of theAdiusted R SquareStd. Error of theAdiusted R SquareStd. Error of theStd. Error of theAdiusted R SquareStd. Error of theAdiusted R SquareStd. Error of theModelR R SquareAdjusted R SquareStd. Error of theAdiusted R SquareStd. Error of theAdiusted R SquareStd. Error of theAdjusted R SquareStd. Error of theAdiusted R SquareStd. Error of theAdiusted R Square								

		Unstandardiz	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	-556.855	146.686		-3.796	.001
	LogTotalasset3	112.350	22.222	.775	5.056	.000

a. Dependent Variable: ustedAdjDPS3

Descrip	otive Statistic	s								
		Mean	S	td. Devi	ation	Ν				
LogRO	CE4	1.0254	1.3	346948		20				
LogTota	alasset4	5.80372	27	782698		20				
Correla	ntions									
					Log	gROCE4	Lo	gTotalasset4		
Pearson	Correlation	Lo	ogROCI	E4	1.0	00	6	43		
		Lo	ogTotala	asset4	64	43	1.0	000		
Sig. (1-1	tailed)	Lo	ogROCI	E4	•		.00)1		
		Lo	ogTotala	asset4	.00	1				
N		Lo	ogROCI	E4	20		20		—	
		Lo	ogTotala	asset4	20		20			
Variab	les Entered/I	Remove	d ^b							
Model	Variables I	Entered	Variab Remov		М	ethod	-			
1	LogTotalas					nter	-			
a. All re	quested varia	bles ent	ered.				-			
b. Depe	ndent Variab	le: LogR	ROCE4							
Model S	Summary									
	_							r of the		
Model	R	R Squ	uare		ed R S	quare Estir				
1	.643ª	.413		.380		.273	125			
	ctors: (Consta	ant), Log	gTotalas	set4						
ANOV	A				10					
Model			um of S	quares	df	Mean Sq	uare	F		ig.
1	Regression		44		1	.944		12.659	.0	02 ^a
	Residual		343		18	.075				
	Total		287		19					
	ctors: (Consta			set4						
-	ndent Variab	le: LogR	ROCE4							
Coeffic	ients [*]									
			Unsta	andardiz	ed Coe	fficients		Standardized Coefficients		
Model			В		St	d. Error]	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)		2.679)	.4	69			5.716	.000
	LogTotalas	sset4	285		.0	80	-	.643	-3.558	.002
a Dene	ndent Variah	e LogD	OCE/							

Regression Analysis of ROCE on Total Assets for GlaxoSmithKline Consumer PLC Descriptive Statistics

a. Dependent Variable: LogROCE4

Descrip	otive Statistic	es							
	-	Mean	Std. Devi	ation	Ν				
Adjuste	dDPS4	1.56300E1	7.107824		20				
LogTot	alasset4	5.80372	.782698		20				
Correla	ations								
				Adju	stedDPS4	LogTotal	asset4	_	
Pearson	Correlation	Adjust	edDPS4	1.00	0	.468		_	
		LogTo	talasset4	.468		1.000			
Sig. (1-	tailed)	Adjust	edDPS4			.019		-	
		LogTo	talasset4	.019					
N		Adjust	edDPS4	20		20		_	
		LogTo	talasset4	20		20			
Variab	les Entered/l	Removed ^b						_	
			iables						
Model		Entered Ren	noved		thod				
1	LogTotalas			Ent	er				
	equested varia								
-	ndent Variab	le: Adjusted	IDPS4						
Model	Summary				D.G. L.				
Model	R	R Square	Adjust Square		R Std. 1 Estim	Error of the ate			
1	.468 ^a	.219	.176		6.452	409			
a. Predi	ctors: (Consta	ant), LogTo	talasset4						
ANOV									
Model		Sum o	f Squares	Df	Me	an Square	F	Sig.	-
1	Regression	210.49	97	1	210).497	5.056	.037 ^a	_
	Residual	749.40)5	18	41.	634			
	Total	959.90	02	19					
a. Predi	ctors: (Consta	ant), LogTo	talasset4						_
b. Depe	ndent Variab	le: Adjusted	IDPS4						
Coeffic	ients ^a								
		Uı	nstandardiz	ed Coet	fficients	Standardiz Coefficier			
Model		В		Std	. Error	Beta		t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	-9	.051	11.0	071			818	.424
	LogTotalas	set4 4.2	253	1.8	91	.468		2.249	.037
a Dara	e	lo: A diveto							

Regression Analysis of DPS on Total Assets for GlaxoSmithKline Consumer PLC Ctatisti D

a. Dependent Variable: AdjustedDPS4

		Mean	Std. Devia	ation	Ν			
LogRO	CE6	1.72906	.113586		20			
LogTot	alasset6	6.22873	.541650		20			
Correla	ations							
				Log	ROCE6	LogTotalas	set6	
Pearson	Correlation	Log	ROCE6	1.00	0	026		
		Log	Totalasset6	02	6	1.000		
Sig. (1-1	tailed)	Log	ROCE6			.456		
		Log	Totalasset6	.456	I			
N		Log	ROCE6	20		20		
		Log	Totalasset6	20		20		
Variab	les Entered/l	e						
			ariables			_		
Model	Variables I		Removed	Me	thod	_		
1	LogTotalas			Ent	ter	_		
a. All re	equested varia	bles enter	ed.					
b. Depe	ndent Variab	le: LogRO	CE6					
Model	Summary						_	
Model	R	R Squa	re Adjust	ed R Sa	Std. uare Esti	Error of the	e	
1	.026 ^a	.001	055	cu it by	-	6657	_	
	ctors: (Const				.11(1057	_	
ANOV		, Eog I	otulusseto					
Model		Sun	n of Squares	df	N	Iean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression		-	1		000	.013	.912
	Residual	.245	5	18)14		
	Total	.245		19				
a Predi	ctors: (Consta			17				
	ndent Variab							
b. Depe								
						~		
b. Depe Coeffic						Standardi	ized	
			Unstandardize	ed Coef	ficients	Standard Coefficie		
			Unstandardize B		ficients I. Error			5
Coeffic					l. Error	Coefficie	ents t	5 710 .

Regression Analysis of ROCE on Total Assets for TOTAL Nigeria PLC

a. Dependent Variable: LogROCE6

Sig. .000 .912

Descrip	tive Statistic	es .				8		
		Mean	Std. Deviati	on N		-		
Adjuste	dDPS6	4.99500E2	353.738183	20		-		
LogTota	alasset6	6.22873	.541650	20				
Correla	tions					-		
				Adjusted	DPS6	LogTotala	isset6	-
Pearson	Correlation	Adjus	tedDPS6	1.000		.878		-
		LogTo	otalasset6	.878		1.000		
Sig. (1-1	ailed)	Adjus	tedDPS6			.000		-
		LogTo	otalasset6	.000				
N		Adjus	tedDPS6	20		20		-
		LogTo	otalasset6	20		20		
Variab	es Entered/	Removed ^b						-
			riables					
Model		Entered Rei	moved	Method				
1	LogTotala			Enter				
	quested varia							
	ndent Variab	le: Adjusted	DPS6					
Model	Summary				0.1 5	<u> </u>		
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted	R Square		or of the		
1	.878 ^a	.770	.758	1	174.1505	82		
a. Predi	ctors: (Const	ant), LogTot	alasset6					
ANOV								
Model		Sum o	of Squares	df	Mean S	Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	18315	571.686	1	183157	71.686	60.391	.000 ^a
	Residual	54591	1.654	18	30328.	425		
	Total	23774	183.340	19				
a. Predi	ctors: (Const	ant), LogTot	alasset6					
b. Depe	ndent Variab	le: Adjusted	DPS6					
Coeffic	ients ^a							
		U	Instandardized	Coefficie		Standardiz Coefficient		
Model		В	}	Std. Err	or	Beta		t
1	(Constant)	-3	3070.892	461.087	1			-6.660
	LogTotala	sset6 5	73.214	73.761		.878		7.771

Regression Analysis of DPS on Total Assets for TOTAL Nigeria PLC

a. Dependent Variable: AdjustedDPS6

Sig.

.000

.000