ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Influence of nursing interns' resilience on burnout: Mediating effects of well-being

Juan Li*1, Minrui Li1, Junli Ye2, Huimin Zhai1

¹School of Nursing, Southern Medical University, Guangdong province, PR China ²Department of Physiology and Pathophysiology, School of Basic Medicine, Medical College, Qingdao University, Shandong province, PR China

Received: September 12, 2024	Accepted: October 15, 2024	Online Published: November 13, 2024
DOI: 10.5430/jnep.v15n3p10	URL: https://doi.org/10.5430/jnep.v	15n3p10

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study examined the relationship between well-being, psychological resilience, and burnout among nursing interns in China.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was carried out at 2 tertiary hospitals in Guangdong Province, China. Data were collected from 360 nursing interns using a structured questionnaire, and structural equation modeling was used to analyze the correlation between well-being, resilience, and burnout.

Results: Participants' burnout score was in the upper range (mean [M]=12.748, standard deviation [SD] = 6.654). Burnout was negatively correlated with resilience (r = -0.477, p < .01) and well-being (r = -0.573, p < .01). Well-being mediated the relationship between resilience and burnout.

Conclusions: Resilience and well-being are inversely correlated with burnout, and well-being mediates the relationship between resilience and burnout. Improving well-being can reduce burnout risk and improve resilience among nursing interns. To prevent burnout among nursing interns, nursing managers should aim to improve their well-being by optimizing the work environment, promoting the cohesion of the nursing team, actively guiding and providing necessary help, and supporting the development of each intern's nursing career.

Key Words: Nursing students, Burnout, Well-being, Resilience, Mediating effect

1. INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the National Nursing Development Plan (2021–2025), the total number of registered nurses in China was more than 4.7 million by the end of 2020. However, the development of China's nursing workforce remains inadequate and there is an insufficient number of nurses to meet the health needs of the population, especially in light of the country's rapid economic and social development.^[1] Nursing has always been a sector with a high turnover rate and maintaining a stable nursing workforce is a major challenge for health systems in many countries including China. As high-quality talent poised to enter this sector, nursing interns are an important and valuable human resource in the labor market.^[2] However, as newcomers to the clinical work setting, interns encounter a high degree of role stress.^[3] When the frequency and duration of stressors exceed their ability to cope, interns may experience premature burnout and resolve to leave nursing education.^[4] One study of nursing students in Turkey found that 35% experienced burnout before their internship, with the proportion increasing to 44% after the

*Correspondence: Juan Li; Email: lijuan10@smu.edu.cn; Address: School of Nursing, Southern Medical University, Guangdong province, PR China.

internship.^[5] Burnout can result in adverse outcomes for hospitals such as loss of cohesion among staff, reduced work efficiency and job performance, and attrition.^[6] Thus, a challenge for hospitals and the medical profession is preventing burnout among nursing interns to ensure the work productivity of nursing staff and the overall quality of nursing care.

Practical clinical experience is an important component of nursing education.^[4] In China, all nursing students are required to complete at least 32 consecutive weeks (1280 h) of clinical training in their final year of study.^[4] During the training, students encounter many stressful situations that could lead to burnout such as a new environment, difficult patients, and trauma, in addition to having to manage their own fear of making a mistake while knowing that they are being evaluated by instructors.^[5] Burnout is a psychological syndrome involving emotional exhaustion, feelings of helplessness, depersonalization, negative attitudes toward work and life, and a reduced sense of personal accomplishment.^[7] It was reported that Asian nursing interns (40%) had a higher pooled prevalence of burnout than interns from Europe (23%)and South America (14%).^[8] Burnout can also affect interpersonal skills, job performance, career satisfaction, and psychological health.^[9] Given the high prevalence and negative impact, effective interventions for burnout are needed, which requires a deeper understanding of the contributing factors.[8]

Psychosocial resilience is a complex phenomenon that is broadly defined as the ability to positively adapt to adversity in a way that promotes growth and well-being. Individuals with a high degree of resilience are more likely to recover from negative experiences and are better able to adapt to their circumstances^[6] and maintain a positive attitude when faced with adversity.^[6] Total resilience score as measured by the Connor–Davidson resilience scale and characteristics associated with resilience were shown to be significantly and negatively correlated with 3 indicators of burnout—namely, clinical practice stress, social support, and work environment.^[1,10] Training nurses to develop resilience can potentially reduce burnout and increase their retention in the workforce.^[12]

Well-being is a subjective concept encompassing life satisfaction, pleasant effects, and unpleasant effects.^[13] Resilience and its various dimensions are significantly and positively correlated with well-being,^[4] and nursing interns with a high level of resilience were shown to have higher perceived wellbeing.^[13] A high level of resilience may enhance positive intrapersonal and interpersonal strengths and facilitate a sense of well-being.^[14] Research on burnout among nurses has shown that there is a significant negative association between happiness and burnout among nurses, indicating that higher levels of happiness correspond to lower burnout scores (both total scores and scores for each subscale).^[15] Thus, resilience not only directly impacts the level of burnout of nursing interns, but may also have an indirect effect through happiness.

The present study examined the relationship between psychological resilience and burnout and the role of well-being among nursing interns, a population that is susceptible to burnout. The conceptual basis of the study was the Job Demand-Resource Model (JD-R),^[16] which posits that adequate internal psychological resources can help individuals cope more effectively with the work environment, improve their work engagement, and ultimately reduce the risk of burnout. Besides resilience, emotions, personality, cognition, and degree of work engagement are internal work resources that can impact an individual's motivation level in the workplace.^[16, 17] We tested the following hypotheses:

(1) There is a significant negative correlation between psychological resilience and burnout among nursing interns.(2) Well-being plays a mediating role in the relationship

2. METHODS

2.1 Setting and participants

between resilience and burnout.

This descriptive study included nursing interns receiving training at 2 tertiary hospitals in Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, China. The minimum sample size to construct a stable model is 200;^[18] we therefore aimed to collect at least 200 valid questionnaires. Participants were recruited by convenience and snowball sampling.^[19] We contacted and received approval from the director of the nursing department who was responsible for teaching at the hospital. One researcher informed the participants about the study methods and protection of their rights. Questionnaires were distributed to participants after obtaining their informed consent. The structured questionnaire contained 4 sections: demographic characteristics, psychological resilience, well-being index, and burnout. All assessment tools were adapted from published studies. Survey data were collected online by sending an electronic questionnaire via WeChat, a social network widely used in China. A total of 360 participants were surveyed who met the following criteria: 1) nursing student who had been interning for at least 3 months; 2) enrolled in a full-time bachelor or specialist degree program; and 3) gave informed consent and voluntarily participated in the survey.

2.2 Instruments

Demographic characteristics Participants' demographic data included sex, birthplace, educational background, personality type, intern cadres, major selection method, school achievements, family support for studying nursing, professional satisfaction, duration of the internship, internship hospital level, satisfaction with internship management, satisfaction with nursing teaching, and satisfaction with the internship environment.

2.2.1 Psychosocial resilience

The instrument used to assess psychological resilience was a revised version^[20] of the Connor–Davidson resilience scale.^[21] The scale comprises 3 dimensions and 25 items: resilience (13 items), tenacity (8 items), and optimism (4 items). All items used a 5-point Likert rating scale where 0 represented "Never" and 4 represented "Always". Scores between 0 and 56 indicated a low level of resilience; scores between 57 and 70 indicated moderate resilience; and a score \geq 71 indicated high resilience. The Cronbach's α of the scale in this study was 0.89. This survey was previously deemed reliable with an internal consistency reliability of 0.94.

2.2.2 Index of well-being

The Chinese translation^[22] of the Satisfaction with Life Scale^[23] consists of 9 items: 8 for overall affective index and 1 for life satisfaction. The scale is used to measure the participant's current level of experienced happiness. All items used a 7-point Likert scale, the first 8 items had equal weight (1 point each), and the last item weighed 1.1 points. The total score for subjective well-being was calculated by averaging the scores of the first 8 items and adding the score of the last item multiplied by 1.1. The total score ranged from 2.1 points (Least happy) to 14.7 points (Most happy), with the midpoint as the average score and a higher score indicating a stronger sense of subjective well-being. The Cronbach's α of the scale in this study was 0.89.

2.2.3 Burnout

The burnout scale developed by Maslach^[7] and revised by Chaoping Li^[37] consists of 15 items categorized into 3 dimensions: emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and reduced personal accomplishment. Emotional exhaustion refers to an individual's perception that all of their emotional resources have been depleted, resulting in a lack of motivation toward work, feelings of frustration, tension, and even fear of work. This section comprised 5 items (e.g., Item 2: "I feel exhausted at the end of the workday"). Cynicism refers to an individual's deliberate distancing from work and work-related individuals, a lack of enthusiasm for and involvement in work, and a skeptical attitude toward the meaning of the work. This section comprised 5 items (e.g., Item 7: "I am not as enthusiastic about work as I used to be"). Reduced personal accomplishment refers to an individual's negative self-evaluation of their ability to perform their job effectively. This section

included 6 items (e.g., Item 15: "I am confident in my ability to complete tasks effectively"). All items used a 7-point Likert scale, where 0 represented "Never" and 6 represented "Always". To facilitate comparison, the reduced personal accomplishment section was reverse scored, with the total score calculated by summing the scores of all items in each dimension and a higher score indicating more severe burnout. The 3 dimensions of this scale had reliability coefficients ranging from 0.67 to 0.87, indicating good validity.

2.3 Data analysis

Statistical analyses of the data were performed using Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA), SPSS 22.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA), and AMOS software (IBM, Armonk, New York, NY, USA). Invalid questionnaires were removed in Excel, leaving 332 valid questionnaires. Descriptive statistics such as mean (M), and standard deviation (SD) were used to describe continuous variables according to whether they showed a normal or non-normal distribution. Frequency and composition ratio were used to describe categorical variables. A multivariate linear regression model was used to identify factors influencing burnout in participants, and Pearson's correlation was used for correlation analyses. Tests were 2-tailed and a p value < .05 was considered statistically significant. Structural equation modeling was performed using AMOS software to evaluate the relationships between resilience, burnout, and well-being. The mediating effect of well-being on the association between resilience and burnout was also assessed. Percentile bootstrap confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated based on 5000 samples.

2.4 Ethical considerations

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Southern Medical University and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and American Psychological Association ethical standards. Written, informed consent was obtained from each participant.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Participants' general characteristics

The mean (SD) age of study participants was 21.14 (1.15) years; most (88.3%) were women (see Table 1). Most interns were self-selected nursing majors, 52.1% had > 6 months of nursing internship, and 78.6% were satisfied with the internship environment.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the studyparticipants (N = 332)

SexMale39 (11.7)Female393 (83.3)Birthplace219 (66.0)Countryside219 (66.0)Education level113 (34.0)Education level66 (50.0)Junior college166 (50.0)Personality type182 (54.82)Introvert182 (54.82)Introvert180 (45.18)Intern cadres130 (31.0)No20 (69.0)Major selection method20 (69.0)Adjustment99 (29.8)Academic achievements20 (9.2)Upper (top 40%)132 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)32 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)32 (39.7)Cover (bottom 40%)57 (17.2)Family support for studying nursing230 (69.3)Upsuportive46 (13.9)Supportive30 (90.3)Unsupportive48 (14.5)Very supportive8 (24.3)Suportive93 (28.0)Very suisfied13 (39.1)Satisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied93 (28.0)Very dissatisfied13 (39.1)Satisfied32 (96.4)Statisfied32 (96.4)Very dissatisfied32 (96.4)Sinsified32 (97.6)Upper second-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle first-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle second-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle second-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfied28 (84.2)Upper second-class hospital3 (13.0)Satisfied28	Variable	n (%)
Male39 (11.7)Female23 (88.3)Birthplace219 (66.0)Countryside1219 (66.0)Education level166 (50.0)Junior college166 (50.0)Personality type182 (54.82)Introvert182 (54.82)Introvert150 (45.18)Inter cadres19Yes103 (31.0)No229 (69.0)Major selection method233 (70.2)Adjustment93 (29.8)Academic achievements122 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)37 (17.2)Family support for studying nursing143 (43.1)Medium (40%-60%)132 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)57 (17.2)Family supportive46 (13.9)Supportive230 (69.3)Unsupportive13 (3.9)Supportive13 (3.9)Susfied13 (3.9)Susfied13 (3.9)Susfied13 (3.9)Susfied13 (3.9)Susfied13 (3.9)Susfied13 (3.9)Susfied13 (3.9)Susfied20 (64.1)Uper (instriction of internship (months)11 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6)2713 (5.1)Internship hospital level200 (63.1)Uper scond-class hospital3 (0.9)Sutisfied20 (64.1)Uper scond-class hospital3 (0.9)Sutisfied28 (8.4)Sutisfied28 (8.4)Sutisfied28 (8.4)Sutisfied28 (8.7)Upe	Sex	
Female293 (88.3)Birthplace219 (66.0)Countryside219 (66.0)City113 (34.0)Education level166 (50.0)Junior college166 (50.0)Personality type152 (54.82)Introvert150 (45.18)Intern cadres193 (31.0)No229 (69.0)Major selection method233 (70.2)Adjustment9233 (70.2)Adjustment929.8)Academic achievements120 (29.7)Lower (bottom 40%)32 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)32 (30.6)Supportive48 (14.5)Very unsupportive48 (14.5)Very unsupportive13 (3.9)Satisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied11 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6)≥713 (3.0)Satisfied320 (96.4)Middle first-class hospital6 (1.8)Upper first-class hospital6 (1.8)Upper second-class hospital6 (1.8)Satisfied228 (8.7)Dissatisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied <t< td=""><td>Male</td><td>39 (11.7)</td></t<>	Male	39 (11.7)
BirthplaceCountryside219 (66.0)City13 (3.0)Education level100Junior college166 (50.0)Personality type166 (50.0)Personality type152 (54.82)Introvert150 (45.18)Introvert150 (45.18)Intern cadres103 (31.0)No229 (69.0)Major selection method233 (70.2)Adjustment99 (29.8)Academic achievements123 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)32 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)37 (17.2)Family support for studying nursing230 (69.3)Upper (top 40%)48 (43.5)Very supportive46 (13.9)Supportive230 (69.3)Unsupportive30 (39.0)Very supportive8 (2.4)Very supportive30 (39.0)Satisfied121 (63.9)Satisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied212 (63.9)Disatisfied30.20.0Very atisfied144.2)Uration of internship (months)13I-311 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6)2710.3Juffeld30.096.4)Middle first-class hospital30.09.1Middle first-class hospital30.09.1Middle second-class hospital30.09.1Middle second-class hospital28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.7)Disatisfied40 (13.0)Satisfied40 (13.0)Satisfied40	Female	293 (88.3)
Countryside219 (66.0)City113 (34.0)Eduction level166 (50.0)Junior college166 (50.0)Personality type152 (54.82)Extravert182 (54.82)Introvert182 (54.82)Introvert182 (54.82)Intern cadres229 (69.0)Major selection method229 (69.0)Adjustment99 (29.8)Academic achievements99 (29.8)Academic achievements123 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)132 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)57 (17.2)Family support for studying nursing230 (69.3)Unsportive230 (69.3)Unsportive230 (69.3)Unsportive88 (14.5)Very supportive88 (14.5)Very supportive83 (3.9)Satisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied121 (63.9)Disatisfied30 (39.1)Satisfied33 (3.9)Satisfied144 (42.1)Urardion of internship (months)11 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6)≥7173 (52.1)Internship hospital level200 (64.1)Middle first-class hospital30 (9.9)Middle second-class hospital30 (9.9)Middle second-class hospital28 (84.1)Satisfied28 (84.1)Satisfied28 (84.1)Satisfied28 (87.7)Dissatisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied44 (12.3)Very astisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied44 (12.3)Very	Birthplace	
City113 (34.0)Education level100Undergraduate166 (50.0)Junior college166 (50.0)Personality type150 (45.18)Extravert182 (54.82)Introvert150 (45.18)Intern cadres229 (69.0)Major selection method229 (69.0)Major selection method233 (70.2)Adjustment99 (29.8)Academic achievements99 (29.8)Upper (top 40%)132 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)57 (17.2)Family support for studying nursing123 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)57 (17.2)Family support for studying nursing230 (69.3)Unsupportive48 (14.5)Very supportive48 (14.5)Very supportive8 (2.4)Professional satisfaction212 (63.9)Dissatisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied14 (4.2)Duration of internship (months)131-311 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6)≥7173 (52.1)Internship hospital level220 (96.4)Middle first-class hospital30.9)Middle second-class hospital30.9Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.7)Dissatisfied28 (8.7)Dissatisfied28 (8.7)Satisfied30.8)Very satisfied30.10.9)Satisfied42 (72.9)Dissatisfied42 (72.9)Dissatis	Countryside	219 (66.0)
Education levelUndergraduate166 (50.0)Junior college166 (50.0)Personality type152 (54.82)Introvert150 (65.18)Intern cadres150 (65.18)Yes103 (31.0)No209 (09.0)Major selection method233 (70.2)Adjustment99 (29.8)Academic achievements99 (29.8)Upper (top 40%)143 (43.1)Medium (40%-60%)132 (39.7)Lower (bottem 40%)57 (17.2)Family support for studying nursing230 (69.3)Unsupportive46 (13.9)Supportive230 (69.3)Unsupportive48 (14.5)Very supportive48 (14.5)Very supportive30 (69.3)Unsupportive13 (3.9)Satisfied13 (3.9)Port of internship (months)1I-311 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6)≥713 (52.1)Internship hospital level28 (68.7)Middle first-class hospital3(0.9)Satisfied28 (68.7)Dissatisfied28 (68.7)Satisfied28 (68.7)Satisfied28 (68.7)Satisfied3(City	113 (34.0)
Undergraduate166 (50.0)Junior college166 (50.0)Personality type152 (54.82)Introvert150 (45.18)Intern cadres229 (69.0)Major selection method229 (69.0)Major selection method92 (28.8)Academic achievements99 (29.8)Academic achievements323 (70.2)Majustment99 (29.8)Academic achievements123 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)57 (17.2)Family support for studying nursing230 (69.3)Ursy supportive46 (13.9)Supportive230 (69.3)Unsupportive48 (14.5)Very unsupportive8 (2.4)Professional satisfaction212 (63.9)Dissatisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied121 (63.9)Dissatisfied33 (28.0)Very dissatisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied121 (63.9)Dissatisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied13 (3.9)Orst and for thermship (months)111-311 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6)≥7173 (52.1)Internship hospital level120Upper first-class hospital30.9)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.7)Distatisfied28 (8.7)Distatisfied28 (8.7)Distatisfied30.9)Satisfied30.9)<	Education level	
Junior college166 (50.0)Personality typeExtravert182 (54.82)Introvert182 (54.82)Intern cadres229 (69.0)Major selection method229 (69.0)Adjustment92 (29.8)Academic achievements233 (70.2)Adjustment99 (29.8)Academic achievements209 (69.0)Upper (top 40%)143 (43.1)Medium (40%-60%)132 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)57 (17.2)Family support for studying nursingVery supportiveVery supportive46 (13.9)Supportive230 (69.3)Unsupportive8 (2.4)Professional satisfaction212 (63.9)Very unsupportive8 (2.4)Professional satisfaction212 (63.9)Satisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied93 (28.0)Very atisfied14 (4.2)Uration of internship (months)11 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6)≥7173 (52.1)Internship hospital level11Upper second-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle first-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfied10 (3.0)Satisfied44 (12.3)Very asitsfied41 (12.3)Very satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied44 (22.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very asitsfied41 (12.3)Ver	Undergraduate	166 (50.0)
Personality type Extravert 182 (54.82) Introvert 150 (45.18) Intern cadres 150 (45.18) Yes 103 (31.0) No 229 (69.0) Major selection method 233 (70.2) Adjustment 99 (29.8) Academic achievements 99 (29.8) Upper (top 40%) 132 (39.7) Lower (bottom 40%) 57 (17.2) Family support for studying nursing Very supportive Very supportive for studying nursing Very supportive Very supportive 230 (69.3) Unsupportive 230 (69.3) Unsupportive 230 (69.3) Unsupportive 2212 (63.9) Satisfied 13 (3.9) Satisfied 212 (63.9) Disatisfied 212 (63.9) Disatisfied 30 (28.0) Very disatisfied 14 (4.2) Duration of internship (months) 11 1-3 11 (3.3) 4-6 148 (44.6) ≥7 13 (52.1) Internship	Junior college	166 (50.0)
Extravert182 (54.82)Introvert150 (45.18)Intern cadres150 (45.18)Yes103 (31.0)No200Major selection method233 (70.2)Adjustment99 (29.8)Academic achievements99 (29.8)Academic achievements143 (43.1)Medium (40%-60%)132 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)57 (71.2)Family support for studying nursingVery supportiveVery supportive46 (13.9)Supportive230 (69.3)Unsupportive48 (14.5)Very unsupportive8 (2.4)Professional satisfaction212 (63.9)Dissatisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied93 (28.0)Very dissatisfied14 (4.2)Duration of internship (months)11 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6)≥711 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6)≥730 (0.9)Niddle first-class hospital30 (0.9)Middle first-class hospital30 (0.9)Middle second-class hospital228 (68.7)Dissatisfied64 (1.8)Upper second-class hospital228 (68.7)Dissatisfied64 (1.9)Very satisfied10 (3.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied<	Personality type	
Introvert150 (45.18)Intern cadresYes103 (31.0)No229 (69.0)Major selection method233 (70.2)Adjustment293 (70.2)Adjustment293 (70.2)Adjustment293 (70.2)Adjustment293 (70.2)Adjustment293 (70.2)Major selection method143 (43.1)Medium (40%-60%)132 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)57 (17.2)Family support for studying nursingYery supportiveVery supportive46 (13.9)Supportive230 (69.3)Unsupportive8 (2.4)Professional satisfaction212 (63.9)Dissatisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied11 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6)≥7173 (52.1)Internship (months)111-311 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6)≥7173 (52.1)Internship hospital level11Upper first-class hospital30.9)Middle first-class hospital30.9)Satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied242 (72.9)Very dissatisfied242 (72.9)Very dissatisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)<	Extravert	182 (54.82)
Intern cadres Yes 103 (31.0) No 229 (69.0) Major selection method 233 (70.2) Adutonomy 233 (70.2) Adjustment 99 (28.8) Academic achievements 143 (43.1) Medium (40%-60%) 143 (43.1) Medium (40%-60%) 132 (39.7) Lower (bottom 40%) 57 (17.2) Family support for studying nursing Very supportive Very supportive 230 (69.3) Unsupportive 230 (69.3) Unsupportive 82 (24) Professional satisfaction 212 (63.9) Very satisfied 13 (3.9) Satisfied 212 (63.9) Dissatisfied 14 (4.2) Duration of internship (months) 1 1-3 11 (3.3) 4-6 148 (44.6) 27 173 (52.1) Internship hospital level 200 (96.4) Middle first-class hospital 3(0.9) Middle scond-class hospital 3(0.9) Satisfied 28 (84.7)	Introvert	150 (45.18)
Yes 103 (31.0) No 229 (69.0) Major selection method 233 (70.2) Adjustment 99 (29.8) Academic achievements 99 (29.8) Upper (top 40%) 132 (39.7) Lower (bottom 40%) 57 (17.2) Family support for studying nursing 100 (69.3) Ury supportive 46 (13.9) Supportive 230 (69.3) Unsupportive 48 (14.5) Very supportive 8 (2.4) Professional satisfaction 121 (63.9) Satisfied 13 (3.9) Satisfied 122 (60.3) Ury supportive 48 (14.5) Very satisfied 14 (4.2) Duration of internship (months) 11 (3.3) 1-4 4.2 Duration of internship (months) 11 (3.3) 1-4-6 148 (44.6) ≥7 173 (52.1) Internship hospital level 100.9) Middle first-class hospital 3 (0.9) Satisfaction with internship management 28 (68.7) Dissatisfied	Intern cadres	
No229 (69.0)Major selection methodAutonomy233 (70.2)Adjustment99 (29.8)Academic achievementsUpper (top 40%)143 (43.1)Medium (40%-60%)132 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)75 (77.2)Family support for studying nursingVery supportive46 (13.9)Supportive230 (69.3)Unsupportive48 (14.5)Very supportive8 (2.4)Professional satisfactionVery satisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied3 (28.0)Very dissatisfied14 (4.2)Duration of internship (months)1-311 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6)≥7173 (52.1)Internship hospital levelUpper first-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfied220 (96.4)Middle first-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied242 (72.9)Very atisfied242 (72.9)Very atisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (2.3)Very atisfied41 (2.3) <td>Yes</td> <td>103 (31.0)</td>	Yes	103 (31.0)
Major selection method Autonomy 233 (70.2) Adjustment 99 (29.8) Academic achievements	No	229 (69.0)
Autonomy233 (70.2)Adjustment99 (29.8)Academic achievements U Upper (top 40%)143 (43.1)Medium (40%–60%)132 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)57 (17.2)Family support for studying nursing V Very supportive46 (13.9)Supportive230 (69.3)Unsupportive8 (2.4)Professional satisfaction V Very satisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied93 (28.0)Very dissatisfied14 (4.2)Duration of internship (months)11–311 (3.3)4–6148 (44.6)≥713 (3.9)Satisfied320 (96.4)Middle first-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle first-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very satisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied6 (1.8)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied45 (13.0)Satisfied45 (13.0)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied45 (13.0)Satisfied45 (13.0)S	Major selection method	
Adjustment99 (29.8)Academic achievements143 (43.1)Medium (40%–60%)132 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)57 (17.2)Family support for studying nursing77 (17.2)Family support for studying nursing230 (69.3)Unsupportive46 (13.9)Supportive48 (14.5)Very unsupportive48 (14.5)Very unsupportive8 (24.)Professional satisfaction13 (3.9)Satisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied93 (28.0)Very dissatisfied14 (4.2)Duration of internship (months)11 (3.3)4–6148 (44.6)≥7173 (52.1)Internship hospital level13 (0.9)Upper first-class hospital3(0.9)Middle first-class hospital3(0.9)Middle second-class hospital3(0.9)Satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied41 (12.3)Very satisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied41 (12.3)Very satisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied61.8)Satisfied51 (9.3)	Autonomy	233 (70.2)
Academic achievementsUpper (top 40%)143 (43.1)Medium (40%–60%)132 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)57 (17.2)Family support for studying nursingVery supportiveVery supportive46 (13.9)Supportive230 (69.3)Unsupportive48 (14.5)Very unsupportive48 (14.5)Very unsupportive8 (2.4)Professional satisfaction212 (63.9)Dissatisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied14 (4.2)Duration of internship (months)11 (3.3)4–6148 (44.6)≥7173 (52.1)Internship hospital level12Upper first-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle first-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle second-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied41 (12.3)Very atisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied51 (9.3)Satisfied43 (19.3)Satisfied43 (19.3)Satisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied51 (9.3)Satisfied <td>Adjustment</td> <td>99 (29.8)</td>	Adjustment	99 (29.8)
Upper (top 40%) 143 (43.1) Medium (40%–60%) 132 (39.7) Lower (bottom 40%) 57 (17.2) Family support for studying nursing 230 (69.3) Very supportive 46 (13.9) Supportive 230 (69.3) Unsupportive 48 (14.5) Very unsupportive 8 (2.4) Professional satisfaction Very satisfied Very satisfied 13 (3.9) Satisfied 212 (63.9) Dissatisfied 93 (28.0) Very dissatisfied 14 (4.2) Duration of internship (months) 1 1–3 11 (3.3) 4–6 148 (44.6) ≥7 173 (52.1) Internship hospital level Upper first-class hospital Upper second-class hospital 3 (0.9) Middle first-class hospital 3 (0.9) Satisfied 28 (8.4) Satisfied 28 (8.4) Satisfied 28 (8.7) Dissatisfied 43 (13.0) Satisfied 242 (72.9) Dissatisfied 4	Academic achievements	
Medium (40%–60%)132 (39.7)Lower (bottom 40%)57 (17.2)Family support for studying nursing57 (17.2)Very supportive46 (13.9)Supportive230 (69.3)Unsupportive48 (14.5)Very unsupportive8 (2.4)Professional satisfaction13 (3.9)Satisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied93 (28.0)Very dissatisfied93 (28.0)Very dissatisfied14 (4.2)Duration of internship (months)1-31–311 (3.3)4–6148 (44.6)≥7173 (52.1)Internship hospital level1Upper first-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle first-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Very satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very satisfied6 (1.8)Satisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied6 (1.8)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)	Upper (top 40%)	143 (43.1)
Lower (bottom 40%) $57 (17.2)$ Family support for studying nursing $46 (13.9)$ Supportive $230 (69.3)$ Unsupportive $48 (14.5)$ Very unsupportive $8 (2.4)$ Professional satisfaction $13 (3.9)$ Satisfied $121 (63.9)$ Dissatisfied $93 (28.0)$ Very dissatisfied $14 (4.2)$ Duration of internship (months) $14 (4.2)$ $1-3$ $11 (3.3)$ $4-6$ $148 (44.6)$ ≥ 7 $173 (52.1)$ Internship hospital level $10 (3.0)$ Upper first-class hospital $3 (0.9)$ Middle first-class hospital $3 (0.9)$ Satisfied $228 (68.7)$ Dissatisfied $228 (68.7)$ Dissatisfied $242 (72.9)$ Very satisfied $43 (13.0)$ Satisfied $44 (12.3)$ Very satisfied $41 (12.3)$ Very dissatisfied $6 (1.8)$ Satisfied $41 (12.3)$ Very satisfied $51 (9.3)$ Satisfied $31 (9.3)$ Satisfied $320 (69.3)$ Dissatisfied $230 (69.3)$ Dissatisfied $230 (69.3)$	Medium (40%–60%)	132 (39.7)
Family support for studying nursingVery supportive $46 (13.9)$ Supportive $230 (69.3)$ Unsupportive $48 (14.5)$ Very unsupportive $8 (2.4)$ Professional satisfaction $13 (3.9)$ Satisfied $212 (63.9)$ Dissatisfied $93 (28.0)$ Very dissatisfied $14 (4.2)$ Duration of internship (months) $11 (3.3)$ $1-3$ $11 (3.3)$ $4-6$ $48 (44.6)$ ≥ 7 $17 (52.1)$ Internship hospital level $320 (96.4)$ Widdle first-class hospital $3(0.9)$ Middle second-class hospital $3 (0.9)$ Satisfied $228 (8.4)$ Satisfied $228 (68.7)$ Dissatisfied $6 (1.8)$ Upper second-class hospital $228 (68.7)$ Dissatisfied $242 (72.9)$ Satisfaction with internship management $43 (13.0)$ Very astisfied $242 (72.9)$ Dissatisfied $41 (12.3)$ Very satisfied $41 (12.3)$ Very dissatisfied $41 (12.3)$ Very dissatisfied $52 (0.93)$ Satisfaction with the internship environment $Very satisfied$ Very satisfied $31 (9.3)$ Satisfaction with the internship environment $Very satisfied$ Satisfaction with the internship environment $Very satisfied$ Satisfaction with the internship environment $Very satisfied$ Very satisfied $52 (0.93)$ Satisfied $52 (0.93)$ Satisfied $52 (0.93)$ Satisfied $52 (0$	Lower (bottom 40%)	57 (17.2)
Very supportive $46 (13.9)$ Supportive $230 (69.3)$ Unsupportive $48 (14.5)$ Very unsupportive $8 (2.4)$ Professional satisfaction $Very$ satisfiedVery satisfied $13 (3.9)$ Satisfied $212 (63.9)$ Dissatisfied $93 (28.0)$ Very dissatisfied $14 (4.2)$ Duration of internship (months) $1-3$ $1-3$ $11 (3.3)$ $4-6$ $148 (44.6)$ $≥7$ $173 (52.1)$ Internship hospital level $20 (96.4)$ Widdle first-class hospital $3 (0.9)$ Middle second-class hospital $3 (0.9)$ Satisfied $28 (8.4)$ Satisfied $228 (68.7)$ Dissatisfied $66 (19.9)$ Very satisfied $242 (72.9)$ Dissatisfied $41 (12.3)$ Very satisfied $41 (12.3)$ Very satisfied $41 (12.3)$ Very dissatisfied $6(1.8)$ Satisfaction with the internship environment $Very$ satisfiedVery satisfied $31 (9.3)$ Satisfaction with the internship environment $Very$ satisfiedVery satisfied $51 (9.3)$ Satisfaction with the internship environment $Very$ satisfiedSatisfied $52 (86.7)$ Dissatisfied $6(1.8)$ Satisfied $52 (18.7)$ Satisfied $52 (18.7)$ Dissatisfied $52 (18.7)$ Satisfied $52 (18.7)$ Satisfied $52 (18.7)$ Satisfied $52 (18.7)$ Satisfied $52 (18$	Family support for studying nursing	
Supportive230 (69.3)Unsupportive48 (14.5)Very unsupportive8 (2.4)Professional satisfaction (2.4) Very satisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied93 (28.0)Very dissatisfied14 (4.2)Duration of internship (months)11-311 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6)≥7173 (52.1)Internship hospital level (1.8) Upper first-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle first-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.7)Dissatisfied28 (8.7)Dissatisfied28 (68.7)Dissatisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Very dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very satisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied61.8)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)	Very supportive	46 (13.9)
Unsupportive48 (14.5)Very unsupportive8 (2.4)Professional satisfaction13 (3.9)Satisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied93 (28.0)Very dissatisfied14 (4.2)Duration of internship (months)14 (4.2)1-311 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6) ≥ 7 173 (52.1)Internship hospital level10Upper first-class hospital320 (96.4)Middle first-class hospital6 (1.8)Upper second-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (68.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very atisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied31 (9.3)Satisfaction with the internship environment230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)	Supportive	230 (69.3)
Very unsupportive8 (2.4)Professional satisfactionVery satisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied93 (28.0)Very dissatisfied14 (4.2)Duration of internship (months)14 (4.2)1-311 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6) ≥ 7 173 (52.1)Internship hospital level173 (52.1)Upper first-class hospital6 (1.8)Upper second-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle first-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very satisfied10 (3.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied31 (9.3)Satisfaction with the internship environment230 (69.3)Very satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Unsupportive	48 (14.5)
Professional satisfactionVery satisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied93 (28.0)Very dissatisfied14 (4.2)Duration of internship (months)14 (4.2)1-311 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6)≥7173 (52.1)Internship hospital level173 (52.1)Upper first-class hospital320 (96.4)Middle first-class hospital6 (1.8)Upper second-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied10 (3.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfaction with the internship environment230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)	Very unsupportive	8 (2.4)
Very satisfied13 (3.9)Satisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied93 (28.0)Very dissatisfied14 (4.2)Duration of internship (months)11-311 (3.3)4-6148 (44.6) ≥ 7 173 (52.1)Internship hospital level173 (52.1)Upper first-class hospital320 (96.4)Middle first-class hospital6 (1.8)Upper second-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very satisfied10 (3.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied31 (9.3)Satisfaction with the internship environment230 (69.3)Very satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)	Professional satisfaction	
Satisfied212 (63.9)Dissatisfied93 (28.0)Very dissatisfied14 (4.2)Duration of internship (months)11 (3.3)1–311 (3.3)4–6148 (44.6) ≥ 7 173 (52.1)Internship hospital level173 (52.1)Upper first-class hospital320 (96.4)Middle first-class hospital6 (1.8)Upper second-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied28 (68.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very satisfied10 (3.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied61.8)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)	Very satisfied	13 (3.9)
Dissatisfied93 (28.0)Very dissatisfied14 (4.2)Duration of internship (months)11 (3.3)1–311 (3.3)4–6148 (44.6) ≥ 7 173 (52.1)Internship hospital level173 (52.1)Upper first-class hospital320 (96.4)Middle first-class hospital6 (1.8)Upper second-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfaction with internship management28 (8.4)Very satisfied28 (8.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfaction with nursing teaching43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very atisfied31 (9.3)Satisfaction with the internship environment230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)	Satisfied	212 (63.9)
Very dissatisfied14 (4.2)Duration of internship (months)11 (3.3) $1-3$ 11 (3.3) $4-6$ 148 (44.6) ≥ 7 173 (52.1)Internship hospital level173 (52.1)Upper first-class hospital320 (96.4)Middle first-class hospital6 (1.8)Upper second-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle second-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfaction with internship management28 (8.4)Very satisfied28 (8.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfaction with nursing teaching43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very atisfied31 (9.3)Satisfaction with the internship environment230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied230 (69.3)	Dissatisfied	93 (28.0)
Duration of internship (months) $1-3$ 11 (3.3) $4-6$ 148 (44.6) ≥ 7 173 (52.1)Internship hospital level7Upper first-class hospital320 (96.4)Middle first-class hospital6 (1.8)Upper second-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle second-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfaction with internship management28 (8.4)Very satisfied28 (8.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfaction with nursing teaching43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfaction with the internship environment230 (69.3)Dissatisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Very dissatisfied	14 (4.2)
1-3 $11 (3.3)$ $4-6$ $148 (44.6)$ $≥7$ $173 (52.1)$ Internship hospital level $20 (96.4)$ Widdle first-class hospital $320 (96.4)$ Middle first-class hospital $6 (1.8)$ Upper second-class hospital $3 (0.9)$ Middle second-class hospital $3 (0.9)$ Satisfaction with internship management $28 (8.4)$ Very satisfied $28 (8.4)$ Satisfied $228 (68.7)$ Dissatisfied $66 (19.9)$ Very dissatisfied $10 (3.0)$ Satisfaction with nursing teaching $43 (13.0)$ Satisfied $242 (72.9)$ Dissatisfied $41 (12.3)$ Very satisfied $31 (9.3)$ Satisfaction with the internship environment $230 (69.3)$ Dissatisfied $230 (69.3)$ Dissatisfied $230 (69.3)$	Duration of internship (months)	
4-6 148 (44.6)≥7 173 (52.1)Internship hospital level 320 (96.4)Widdle first-class hospital 6 (1.8)Upper second-class hospital 3 (0.9)Middle second-class hospital 3 (0.9)Middle second-class hospital 3 (0.9)Satisfaction with internship management $Very$ satisfiedVery satisfied 28 (8.4)Satisfied 228 (68.7)Dissatisfied 66 (19.9)Very dissatisfied 10 (3.0)Satisfaction with nursing teaching $Very$ satisfiedVery satisfied 43 (13.0)Satisfied 242 (72.9)Dissatisfied 41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied 61.8 Satisfaction with the internship environment $Very$ satisfiedVery satisfied 31 (9.3)Satisfied 230 (69.3)Dissatisfied 62 (18.7)	1–3	11 (3.3)
≥ 7 173 (52.1)Internship hospital level320 (96.4)Widdle first-class hospital6 (1.8)Upper second-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle second-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle second-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfaction with internship management28 (8.4)Very satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfaction with nursing teaching43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very satisfied61.8)Satisfaction with the internship environment230 (69.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	4–6	148 (44.6)
Internship hospital levelUpper first-class hospital320 (96.4)Middle first-class hospital6 (1.8)Upper second-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle second-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfaction with internship management28 (8.4)Very satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfaction with nursing teaching242 (72.9)Dissatisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied61.8)Satisfaction with the internship environment31 (9.3)Very satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	≥7	173 (52.1)
Upper first-class hospital320 (96.4)Middle first-class hospital6 (1.8)Upper second-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle second-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfaction with internship management3 (0.9)Very satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfaction with nursing teaching43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied6 (1.8)Satisfaction with the internship environment31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Internship hospital level	
Middle first-class hospital6 (1.8)Upper second-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle second-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfaction with internship management28 (8.4)Very satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied6 (1.8)Satisfaction with the internship environment31 (9.3)Very satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Upper first-class hospital	320 (96.4)
Upper second-class hospital3 (0.9)Middle second-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfaction with internship management28 (8.4)Very satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfaction with nursing teaching43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied6 (1.8)Satisfaction with the internship environment31 (9.3)Very satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Middle first-class hospital	6 (1.8)
Middle second-class hospital3 (0.9)Satisfaction with internship management28 (8.4)Very satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfaction with nursing teaching43 (13.0)Very satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied6 (1.8)Satisfaction with the internship environment31 (9.3)Very satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Upper second-class hospital	3 (0.9)
Satisfaction with internship managementVery satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfaction with nursing teachingVery satisfiedVery satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied6 (1.8)Satisfaction with the internship environmentVery satisfiedVery satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Middle second-class hospital	3 (0.9)
Very satisfied28 (8.4)Satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfaction with nursing teaching43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied6 (1.8)Satisfaction with the internship environment31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Satisfaction with internship management	
Satisfied228 (68.7)Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfaction with nursing teachingVery satisfiedVery satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied61.8)Satisfaction with the internship environmentVery satisfiedVery satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Very satisfied	28 (8.4)
Dissatisfied66 (19.9)Very dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfaction with nursing teaching43 (13.0)Very satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied61.8)Satisfaction with the internship environment11 (9.3)Very satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Satisfied	228 (68.7)
Very dissatisfied10 (3.0)Satisfaction with nursing teaching43 (13.0)Very satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied61.8)Satisfaction with the internship environment7Very satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Dissatisfied	66 (19.9)
Satisfaction with nursing teachingVery satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied6 (1.8)Satisfaction with the internship environment31 (9.3)Very satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Very dissatisfied	10 (3.0)
Very satisfied43 (13.0)Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied6 (1.8)Satisfaction with the internship environment31 (9.3)Very satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Satisfaction with nursing teaching	
Satisfied242 (72.9)Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied6 (1.8)Satisfaction with the internship environment31 (9.3)Very satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Very satisfied	43 (13.0)
Dissatisfied41 (12.3)Very dissatisfied6 (1.8)Satisfaction with the internship environment31 (9.3)Very satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)Use at included of the second o	Satisfied	242 (72.9)
Very dissatisfied6 (1.8)Satisfaction with the internship environment31 (9.3)Very satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Dissatisfied	41 (12.3)
Satisfaction with the internship environmentVery satisfied31 (9.3)Satisfied230 (69.3)Dissatisfied62 (18.7)	Very dissatisfied	6 (1.8)
Very satisfied 31 (9.3) Satisfied 230 (69.3) Dissatisfied 62 (18.7)	Satisfaction with the internship environment	
Satisfied 230 (69.3) Dissatisfied 62 (18.7)	Very satisfied	31 (9.3)
Dissatisfied 62 (18.7)	Satisfied	230 (69.3)
	Dissatisfied	62 (18.7)
Very dissatisfied 9 (2.7)	Very dissatisfied	9 (2.7)

3.2 Multivariate linear regression

Considering the absence of multicollinearity among variables, we developed a multivariate linear regression model that included all sociodemographic characteristics as independent variables and burnout as the dependent variable. Intern cadre, professional satisfaction, and satisfaction with internship management were significantly associated with burnout ($p \le .001$) (see Table 2). The final model explained 43.8% of the variance in burnout ($p \le .001$).

Table 2. Multivariate linear regression analysis of factors influencing job burnout (N = 332)

Variable	β	t	р		
Constant term	-8.616	-5.797	.000		
Intern cadres	1.985	3.315	.001		
Professional satisfaction	5.114	10.317	.001		
Satisfaction with internship management	2.814	5.665	.000		
<i>Notes</i> . $R^2 = 0.443$; adjusted $R^2 = 0.438$; F = 87.095; p < .001.					

3.3 Correlation analysis

Nursing interns perceived themselves as having a relatively high level of well-being (M = 10.038, SD = 2.674). Resiliency was moderate (M = 58.581, SD = 15.282) whereas burnout score was in the upper range (M = 12.748, SD = 6.654). Burnout was negatively correlated with resilience (r = -0.477, $p \le .01$) and well-being index (r = -0.573, $p \le .01$), whereas resilience was positively correlated with well-being index (r = 0.581, $p \le .01$).

3.4 Structural equation model

There was a significant correlation between resilience, burnout, and well-being. The path coefficients for the effects of resilience on the well-being index, resilience on burnout, and well-being index on burnout were 0.64, -0.26, and -0.48, respectively; all values were significant ($p \le 0.001$) (see Table 3). Our hypothesized model showed a good fit, with minimum chi-squared estimation/degrees of freedom of 3.260, goodness of fit (GFI) of 0.961, adjusted GFI of 0.911, comparative fit index of 0.909, incremental fit index of 0.912, and root mean square error of approximation of 0.083. All of these values met the model fit requirements and confirmed the mediating effect of well-being on the relationship between resilience and burnout (see Figure 1). Specifically, the indirect effect and 95% CI-calculated using a bootstrapping method with 5,000 resamples-did not include 0 (95% CI: -0.424, -0.199), indicating that the mediating effect was significant (see Table 4). This result further supported the hypothesis that well-being impacted the association between resilience and burnout.

Ta	ble	3.	N	orma	lized	path	coefficients
----	-----	----	---	------	-------	------	--------------

Path	Normalized path coefficient	SE	CR	р
Resilience \rightarrow Well-being	0.637	0.040	10.598	≤ .001
Well-being \rightarrow Burnout	-0.477	0.373	-6.975	≤ .001
Resilience \rightarrow Burnout	-0.265	0.252	-3.800	≤ .001

Notes. CR, composition ratio; SE, standard error.

4. DISCUSSION

The mean burnout score of participants in this study (13) was lower than the values reported in previous studies of nurses or undergraduate students.^[2,24] This could be related to the high proportion of interns (70%) who were satisfied with the internship management. Multiple linear regression models showed that intern cadres, professional satisfaction, and satisfaction with internship management were highly predictive of burnout. That is, nursing interns who served as intern cadres had a lower level of burnout, possibly because they had more opportunities for interaction and communication with teachers and were frequently organizing and

participating in various activities that involved interacting with others. This allowed them to receive information from external sources and thus have a richer educational experience, which not only helped them establish a social support system but also enhanced their internal integration ability.^[18] Interns who were less satisfied with the nursing profession had higher levels of burnout.^[25] In China, many pre-medical students transfer to the nursing program because their grades do not meet the standards of their major, with the result that a nursing degree is not highly regarded.^[26] Therefore, measures should be implemented in nursing education to improve interns' sense of professional satisfaction and self-worth such as emphasizing professional ideology and strengthening their role definition and social support system.^[2,27] Additionally, a positive clinical practice environment and favorable work characteristics can lower burnout and improve nursing service quality.^[28] By improving the work environment and increasing internship management satisfaction, the incidence of occupational burnout among nursing interns can be reduced.



Figure 1. Estimation of the structural model with standardized coefficients

Table 4. Bootstrap test of the mediating effect of well-being on the relationship between resilience and burnout

Item	Standardized effect (β)	Standard error	Bootstrap 95% confidence interval
Gross effect	-0.568	0.062	[-0.699, -0.416]
Direct effect	-0.265	0.088	[-0.425, -0.077]
Indirect effect	-0.304	0.057	[-0.424, -0.199]

Notes. Data are based on 5000 bootstrap samples.

According to the JD-R model, burnout results from an imbalance between job resources and demands.^[29] Resilience is a personal resource that can motivate individuals to actively overcome difficulties, maintain optimism in challenging situations, and balance the negative effects of work demands.^[30] Based on the JD-R model, we hypothesized that burnout

among nursing interns could be reduced by increasing their resilience. The results of our analyses confirmed the negative correlation between resilience and burnout, consistent with previous work.^[31] It is well known that resilience can be learned and developed later in life; given that it can reduce burnout, nursing interns would benefit from strategies

to increase resilience such as building positive relationships, maintaining positivity, cultivating emotional intuition, and ensuring work-life balance.^[32]

In the JD-R model, well-being influences the relationship between resilience and burnout. We found that resilience impacted burnout among nursing interns directly but also indirectly through well-being. That is, a high degree of wellbeing can synergize with resilience to reduce burnout. It has been reported that nursing students' well-being during clinical internships had a significant effect on learning outcomes, retention, and attrition.^[33] Interns with a high score on the well-being index were better able to enjoy their studies, leading to self-confidence and excitement, an interest in new topics or situations, and a high level of energy and motivation to succeed in clinical practice.^[34] Well-being is a holistic concept that includes mental, emotional, spiritual, and psychological dimensions and is highly correlated with resilience.^[35] A high level of resilience has been identified as a strong predictor of well-being,^[36] which was supported by our study. As such, there is a need for educational strategies to develop and enhance resilience among nursing students, especially during clinical internships. For example, as physical activity has been suggested as an intervention to improve nursing trainees' well-being,^[34] exercise could be incorporated into the learning environment and encouraged among students, interns, and young professionals as a part of good nursing practice.

Various factors were found to directly or indirectly contribute to burnout among nursing interns, including intern cadre, professional satisfaction, and satisfaction with internship management. Resilience and well-being were inversely correlated with burnout, and well-being was found to mediate the relationship between resilience and burnout. Thus, promoting well-being can potentially improve resilience and reduce burnout in nursing interns. Based on these findings, hospital nursing managers can improve the stability of the nursing team and the well-being of nursing interns by helping the latter respond to work needs and providing emotional and organizational support, which can alleviate occupational fatigue, increase interns' satisfaction with the nursing profession, and reduce their risk of burnout.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our gratitude to all the nursing

interns who participated in this study, as their insights were invaluable to our research.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

Juan li was responsible for study design and revising. Minrui Li was responsible for data collection. Junli Ye rafted the manuscript and Prof. Huimin Zhai revised it. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors made substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work.

FUNDING

Not applicable.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

INFORMED CONSENT

Obtained.

ETHICS APPROVAL

The Publication Ethics Committee of the Sciedu Press. The journal's policies adhere to the Core Practices established by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

PROVENANCE AND PEER REVIEW

Not commissioned; externally double-blind peer reviewed.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

DATA SHARING STATEMENT

No additional data are available.

OPEN ACCESS

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

COPYRIGHTS

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

REFERENCES

- [1] Commission NH. Notice on printing and distributing the national nursing. J Dev Plan. 2022.
- [2] Xu H. Analysis of professional commitment and job burnout of undergraduate nursing students and their relationship. Chongqing Med J. 2016; 45: 4566-4569.

- [3] Sun L, Gao Y, Yang J, et al. The impact of professional identity on role stress in nursing students: a cross-sectional study. Int J Nurs Stud. 2016; 63: 1-8. PMid:27565423 https://doi.org/10.101 6/j.ijnurstu.2016.08.010
- [4] Ma H, Zou JM, Zhong Y, et al. Perceived stress, coping style and burnout of Chinese nursing students in late-stage clinical practice: a cross-sectional study. Nurse Educ Pract. 2022; 62: 103385. PMid:35780686 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2022.10 3385
- [5] Ayaz-Alkaya S, Yaman-Sözbir Ş, Bayrak-Kahraman B. The effect of nursing internship program on burnout and professional commitment. Nurse Educ Today. 2018; 68: 19-22. PMid:29870870 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2018.05.020
- [6] Shi Y, Guo H, Zhang S, et al. Impact of workplace incivility against new nurses on job burn-out: a cross-sectional study in China. BMJ Open. 2018; 8: e020461. PMid:29626049 https://doi.org/10 .1136/bmjopen-2017-020461
- Maslach C, Jackson SE. The measurement of experienced burnout. J Organ Behavior. 1981; 2: 99-113. https://doi.org/10.1002/ job.4030020205
- [8] Kong LN, Yao Y, Chen SZ, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of burnout among nursing students: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Nurse Educ Today. 2023; 121: 105706. PMid:36577286 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2022.105706
- [9] Dubale BW, Friedman LE, Chemali Z, et al. Systematic review of burnout among healthcare providers in sub-Saharan Africa. BMC Public Health. 2019; 19: 1247. PMid:31510975 https://doi.or g/10.1186/s12889-019-7566-7
- [10] Guo YF, Luo YH, Lam L, et al. Burnout and its association with resilience in nurses: a cross-sectional study. J Clin Nurs. 2018; 27: 441-449. PMid:28677270 https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.13952
- [11] Shin S, Hwang E. The effects of clinical practice stress and resilience on nursing students' academic burnout. Korean Med Educ Rev. 2020; 22: 115-121. https://doi.org/10.17496/kmer.20 20.22.2.115
- [12] Hughes V, Cologer S, Swoboda S, Rushton C. Strengthening internal resources to promote resilience among prelicensure nursing students. J Prof Nurs. 2021; 37: 777-783. PMid:34187678 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2021.05.008
- [13] Chow KM, Tang WK, Chan WH, et al. Resilience and well-being of university nursing students in Hong Kong: a cross-sectional study. BMC Med Educ. 2018; 18: 13. PMid:29329529 https: //doi.org/10.1186/s12909-018-1119-0
- [14] McDermott RC, Fruh SM, Williams S, et al. Nursing students' resilience, depression, well-being, and academic distress: testing a moderated mediation model. J Adv Nurs. 2020; 76: 3385-3397. PMid:33009859 https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.14531
- [15] Javadi Sharif T, Hosseinzadeh M, Mahdavi N, et al. Happiness and its relationship with job burnout in nurses of educational hospitals in Tabriz, Iran. Int J Community Based Nurs Midwif. 2020; 8: 295-304. https://doi.org/10.30476/IJCBNM.2020.83298.1138
- Salmela-Aro K, Upadyaya K. School burnout and engagement in the context of demands-resources model. Br J Educ Psychol. 2014; 84: 137-151. PMid:24547758 https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep .12018
- [17] Salmela-Aro K, Upadyaya K. Developmental trajectories of school burnout: evidence from two longitudinal studies. Learn Individ Differ. 2014; 36: 60-68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2014. 10.016
- [18] Wu M. Structural Modelling Equation—Operation and Application of AMOS. Chongqing, China: Chongqing University Press. 2009.

- [19] Johnson TP. Snowball Sampling: Introduction. Wiley StatsRef: statistics reference online. 2014 Apr 14.
- [20] Yu XZ, Zhang J. Factor analysis and psychometric evaluation of the Connor-Davidson resilience scale (CD-RISC) with Chinese people. Soc Behav Pers. 2007; 35: 19-30. https://doi.org/10.2224/ sbp.2007.35.1.19
- [21] Connor KM, Davidson JR. Development of a new resilience scale: the Connor-Davidson resilience scale (CD-RISC). Depress Anxiety 2003;18:76-82. PMid:12964174 https://doi.org/10.1002/da .10113
- [22] Wang X, Wang XL, Ma H. Handbook of mental health assessment scales (updated edition). Chin J Ment Health. 1999.
- [23] Campbell A. Subjective measures of well-being. Am Psychol. 1976;
 31: 117-124. PMid:1267244 https://doi.org/10.1037//000
 3-066x.31.2.117
- [24] Falatah R, Alhalal E. A structural equation model analysis of the association between work-related stress, burnout and job-related affective well-being among nurses in Saudi Arabia during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Nurs Manag. 2022; 30: 892-900. PMid:35277901 https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13587
- [25] Kim HO, Lee I. The mediating effects of social support on the influencing relationship between grit and academic burnout of the nursing students. Nurs Open. 2022; 9: 2314-2324. PMid:35643965 https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.1241
- [26] Chen JP, Dai YM, Qin Y, et al. Factors influencing turnover intention among male nurses in China: a large-scale descriptive correlational study. Int Nurs Rev. 2024; 71: 13-19. PMid:36708510 https://doi.org/10.1111/inr.12827
- [27] Bu M, Ma H, Zhai H, et al. Role of self-efficacy in nursing organizational climate: a way to develop nurses' humanistic practice ability. J Nurs Manag. 2022; 30: 2107-2115. PMid:34798681 https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13516
- [28] Gensimore MM, Maduro RS, Morgan MK, et al. The effect of nurse practice environment on retention and quality of care via burnout, work characteristics, and resilience: a moderated mediation model. J Nurs Adm. 2020; 50: 546-553. PMid:32925666 https://doi.org/10.1097/NNA.00000000000932
- [29] Lin Y, Ameyaw MA, Zhang Q, et al. The relationship between teacher professional identity and burnout amid the pandemic: a moderated mediation model. Front Public Health. 2022; 10: 956243. PMid:36620242 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.956 243
- [30] Li JN, Jiang XM, Zheng QX, et al. The mediating effect of resilience between social support and compassion fatigue among intern nursing and midwifery students during COVID-19: a crosssectional study. BMC Nurs. 2023; 22: 42. PMid:36788572 https: //doi.org/10.1186/s12912-023-01185-0
- [31] Rivas N, López M, Castro MJ, et al. Analysis of burnout syndrome and resilience in nurses throughout the COVID-19 pandemic: a crosssectional study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021; 18: 10470. PMid:34639769 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18191047 0
- [32] Thapa DK, Levett-Jones T, West S, et al. Burnout, compassion fatigue, and resilience among healthcare professionals. Nurs Health Sci. 2021; 23: 565-569. PMid:33860606 https://doi.org/10.1 111/nhs.12843
- [33] Arribas-Marín J, Hernández-Franco V, Plumed-Moreno C, et al. Applying the social cognitive model of well-being in the nursing clinical practicum: a structural equation modeling analysis with a Spanish student's sample. Nurse Educ Pract. 2021; 52: 103028. PMid:33799098 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2021.103028

- [34] Juanamasta IG, Aungsuroch Y, Gunawan J, et al. Postgraduate and undergraduate student nurses' well-being: a scoping review. J Prof Nurs. 2022; 40: 57-65. PMid:35568460 https://doi.org/10.1 016/j.profnurs.2022.03.001
- [35] Froud C, Andrewes T. A personal reflection: using theoretical frameworks to understand the impact of starting university on health and wellbeing. Br J Nurs. 2019; 28: 1410-1413. PMid:31778336 https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2019.28.21.1410
- [36] Li ZS, Hasson F. Resilience, stress, and psychological well-being in nursing students: a systematic review. Nurse Educ Today. 2020; 90: 104440. PMid:32353643 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2 020.104440
- [37] Li C. The impact of distributive justice and procedural justice on job burnout. Acta Psychologica Sinica. 2003; (5): 677-684. https://doi.org/CNKI:SUN:XLXB.0.2003-05-015